E-commerce is currently perceived to be a necessary component of a successful business venture. However, there is little research that addresses the planning stage of e-commerce. We believe there is a need for a model to fill this void. In this paper, we consider the definition of e-commerce within the context of our research and examine a selection of existing models and frameworks for various aspects of e-commerce to consider their relevance and applicability to the e-commerce planning process.
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INTRODUCTION

Adopting e-commerce in the business environment is considered advantageous and, perhaps, necessary for an organization to remain competitive. The growing number of e-commerce failures, however, suggests that more care must be taken in the planning of e-commerce ventures. We believe that lack of e-commerce planning is detrimental to many organizations.

We have undertaken a search for a model, or models, that will assist in determining a pragmatic approach to the e-commerce planning process. We have examined a number of representations developed to reflect various aspects of e-commerce in an attempt to ascertain if they provide any support in this process. While most of the existing models confirm the merits of e-commerce, they offer little insight into planning e-commerce initiatives. This paper presents a sampling of the representations and considers the assistance each model may provide in e-commerce planning.

In the next section, we choose an e-commerce definition appropriate to our research. In the following section, we examine some of the existing e-commerce models: models that describe e-commerce, models of e-commerce processes and models representing e-business. Then, we compare examined models with the systems development life cycle. Finally, we suggest a focus for further research in e-commerce modeling.

DEFINING E-COMMERCE

Many definitions for electronic commerce exist. Researchers remain unable to reach a consensus of opinion as to what e-commerce means (11, 10, 7, 13). It has been suggested that the very complexity of the elements of electronic commerce makes definition difficult and will prompt different authors to suggest different interpretations that focus on their specific area of research (13). For our research, we have chosen to adopt the following definition:
Electronic Commerce involves the undertaking of normal commercial, government, or personal activities by means of computers and telecommunications networks; and includes a wide variety of activities involving the exchange of information, data or value-based exchanges between two or more parties (2).

We use this definition because it encompasses what we believe is an inclusive interpretation of the components of e-commerce. We would suggest that e-commerce is not as narrow a concept as to be defined as a business transaction over the Internet (7). As Choi, Stahl and Whinston (1997) observed, electronic commerce is a fast-moving target. In fact, the rapid evolution of technology supports the need to avoid narrow definition.

**E-COMMERCE MODELS**

**Models Describing E-commerce**

Choi et al (1997) presented the Electronic Commerce Areas model (Figure 1). This model depicts differences between e-commerce and traditional commerce. The representation portrays e-commerce as a three-dimensional space, with purely traditional commerce in the front bottom left area and purely electronic commerce in the back top right area. All other areas represent a mixture of the two commerce vehicles. This model also identifies product, agent and process as three key dimensions distinguishing e-commerce from traditional commerce. The representation underlines the fact that e-commerce may be implemented to compliment an existing or new venture, or may be used to establish a totally electronic venture. The model may also assist in identifying the location of an enterprise in the marketplace/marketspace and be useful in determining the organization’s focus in relationship to technology. It does not, however, assist in developing an e-commerce strategy.

Figure 1 – Electronic Commerce Areas (adapted from Choi et al, 1997)

Zwass (1998) developed an e-commerce framework of seven levels. His premise was that the lower levels support the higher levels. Infrastructure supports services, which, in turn, support products and structures (Figure 2). A difficulty with the hierarchy is that the sequence of layers may not be sufficiently flexible to accommodate the changing functions and activities of e-commerce. It does, however, focus attention on important technical components to be considered within the e-commerce context (2).
Figure 2 – The Hierarchical Framework of E-commerce (adapted from Zwass, 1998)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meta-Level</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Products and Structures</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Electronic Marketplaces and Electronic Hierarchies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Products and Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Enabling Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Secure Messaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Hypermedia/Multimedia Object Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Public and Private Communication Utilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Wide-Area Telecommunications Infrastructure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Riggins (1998) advanced The Electronic Commerce (EC) Value Grid (Figure 3) to aid managers in determining where Web-based electronic storefronts could improve profitability. This representation compares the value creation dimensions of efficiency, effectiveness and strategy to the dimensions of time, distance, relationships, interaction and product to identify 15 advantageous applications for e-commerce. While the EC Value Grid is useful in identifying opportunities, it is specific to Web-based sales applications and may not transfer to other e-commerce developments. Additionally, it does not identify difficulties that may emerge when adopting e-commerce, such as legal restrictions or financial obstacles.

Figure 3 – The Electronic Commerce Value Grid (adapted from Riggins, 1998)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value Creation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Riggins and Rhee (1998) contributed the Electronic Commerce Domain Matrix (ECDM) to represent four dimensions of e-commerce (Figure 4). The matrix was developed by crossing the location of the application user (external or internal to organization) with the type of relationship (technology enhanced or technology facilitated). The model is useful as a tool for classification, but is mainly representative of trading relationships (2). In the context of our research, the matrix suggests possible benefits in adopting e-commerce solutions. It provides us with a backdrop to examine an entity to determine if one of the merits will contribute positively to the entity’s goals.
Figure 4 – Electronic Commerce Domain Matrix (adapted from Riggins & Rhee, 1998)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location of Application Users</th>
<th>Type of Relationship</th>
<th>Cell 1</th>
<th>Cell 2</th>
<th>Cell 3</th>
<th>Cell 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Internal</td>
<td>Improve Coordination with Internal Business Units</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Improve Coordination with Existing Trading Partners</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External</td>
<td>Market Creation to Reach New Customers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Information Exchange to Work with New Team Members</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology Enhanced</td>
<td>Technology Facilitated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chan and Swatman (1999) advocated The Electronic Commerce Component Model (ECCM) (Figure 5). The purpose of the ECCM was to develop a research framework that included all current components and extensions of e-commerce. The meta-view of the model identifies legal, services and infrastructure as the components, with the e-commerce definition at its core. Within each component, current aspects of the component are represented. The intent of the model is to provide an illustration encompassing all aspects of e-commerce that will be representative of the concepts as time progresses. As technologies and environments change, the object within the component can also be changed to reflect modifications. The ECCM provides a useful snapshot for evaluating e-commerce. At any given point in time, and for any given configuration, the model may be employed to represent e-commerce concepts. However, it does not provide insight into processes or opportunities available within the context of e-commerce.

Figure 5 – Component Model for E-Commerce (adapted from Chan & Swatman, 1999)

Models Representing E-commerce Process

A process model may be described as a model that answers how value-creating activities are carried out (5). As such, insight may be gained about methods of conducting e-commerce and possible weaknesses for a specific organization. However, as technology and environment change, models will also need adaptation.

Lazcano, Alonso, Schuldt and Schuler (2000) demonstrated a virtual process within the individual business environment. The model recognizes the integration of technology in materials management from the ordering of the product to the delivery of the finished goods. At the physical level, it reflects the traditional business activities of manufacturing, trading, shipping and distribution. At the virtual level, the model diagrams the electronic flow of data to support the physical manufacturing process. The virtual process model presents a depiction of how virtual tools are incorporated in a specific area of commerce. It does not consider digital
products, nor does it represent such e-commerce processes as e-mail or information searching. Therefore, models such as this do not provide much assistance in decision-making processes beyond a highly conceptual level for the particular mode of commerce it represents. At this level, it does provide some insight into process design.

Clarke (1993) represented e-commerce as a five-stage process. The model suggests that e-commerce can be utilized in the pre-contractual, contractual, ordering and logistics, settlement and post-processing phases of business activities. It also demonstrates the interrelationships between those activities. The model is compatible with a bricks and mortar manufacturing environment, with e-commerce tools integrated into the process. In making a decision to adopt e-commerce, this model is useful for applicable businesses in that it presents a structure for technological innovation. However, it does not address issues such as funding or receptiveness of customers, nor does it translate well into such digital opportunities as online auctions or content provision.

Models Describing E-business

A number of models have been suggested to describe e-business models (12, 8). Viehland (1999) identified three specific business models that rely on interorganisational networks to exist – the virtual retailing model, the distributed storefronts model and the buyer-led pricing model. Rappa (2000) suggested nine forms of business models exist on the web. They are the brokerage, advertising, infomediary, merchant, manufacturer, affiliate, community, subscription and utility models. Afuah and Tucci (2001) stated that models vary not only between industries, but also within industries. As such, it is difficult to depend on existing e-business models for guidance in developing a business strategy.

For an entity considering e-commerce, the e-business model provides a possible starting point. The organization or individual may select a model to emulate, and work backwards from that point. Additionally, an organization may consider features of one of the models as a potential method of diversifying or of strengthening its existing business model.

EXISTING MODELS AND THE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT LIFE CYCLE

The Systems Development Life Cycle provides a metaphor for our investigations. The decision-making process for e-commerce planning in the organization should give consideration at both the planning and analysis stages. In planning, the goal is to identify and prioritize technologies that will most benefit the organization. The analysis phase studies the current business and information systems, and defines user requirements and priorities for the new system (14). We have examined the models and frameworks presented as they apply to the life cycle stages. Models discussed above are summarized in Figure 6. The examined models generally concentrate on specific subsets of e-commerce, such as electronic storefronts or electronic document flow. Consequently, they are too specialized to support all e-commerce decisions. We also observed that the models provide some assistance in analysis and design (evaluation of alternative solutions and detailed specifications (14)), but limited direction relative to the planning stage. In order to provide decision makers with a valid decision model to determine whether an e-commerce venture is worthwhile, one should extend merits stated in existing
models, such as models in Figures 1 to 5, to see how these merits could be used in the planning stage.

CONCLUSIONS

While there are many electronic business models available to reference, as well as models that demonstrate e-commerce process, they provide insufficient guidance in the issues related to e-commerce at the planning stages. The lack of a comprehensive model for planning and analysis in the decision to pursue an e-commerce strategy has most certainly contributed to the number of failures that are currently being observed. There have been, to date, insufficient questions raised about the ability of e-commerce to support all business formats. There is, presently, a need for further research to develop a model that encompasses the necessary questions that should be raised prior to embarking in an e-commerce venture. As well, further research needs to be undertaken to identify problems impeding e-commerce success and to develop strategies to address the problems.

We feel that there is a need to develop an e-commerce planning process model that is all-inclusive and transportable. A good starting point would be to integrate existing e-commerce models discussed in this paper. We have started preliminary work on a model that incorporates discussions in this paper, as well as research found in such disciplines as psychology, marketing and law. The proposed e-commerce planning model will be presented in due time. It is our
intention that this model will be all-inclusive and therefore transportable as new technologies emerge and barriers fall.
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