CIS MINORS IN THE U.S.: AN ANALYSIS OF EXISTING CURRICULA

Lissa Pollacia, pollacia@nsula.edu
William Lomerson, lomersonw@nsula.edu
Jack Russell, jrussell@nsula.edu

ABSTRACT
The number of U.S. students who choose Computer Information Systems (CIS), Management Information Systems (MIS), or Computer Science (CS) as a major has declined significantly in the last seven years. For many computing departments, it is imperative to seek ways to increase the number of enrollments. One such option is to develop and offer a new type of multi-disciplinary minor that incorporate computer-centric courses taught by CIS and other departments throughout the university. This paper describes a review of CIS minors offered at institutions in the U.S. The results of the review focus on information such as the type of minor, the number of credit hours, and the types of courses that are required. It is our hope that this study will provide information to assist a department considering curriculum changes.
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INTRODUCTION
All evidence indicates that the number of students who are electing to major in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) disciplines has declined dramatically since the year 2000. Particularly hard hit are all computing-related fields, such as Computer Information Systems (CIS), Computer Science (CS), and Management Information Systems (MIS) [5, 7]. After six years of steady declines, the number of new CS majors in Fall 2006 was only half of what it was in the Fall of 2000 [16]. The decrease in computing enrollments coupled with a large number of pending retirements is expected to result in a substantial shortage of skilled workers in the U.S. in the next few years [2, 9].

Recent studies show that there are a variety of reasons for this decline, such as students’ inaccurate perception of the computing profession, particularly with respect to job prospects and career opportunities. Other reasons cited are the dot com bust, the outsourcing of jobs overseas, the decline in students’ analytical abilities, and the perception of “geek”/”nerd” for computer professionals. A large number of students indicated that they think the work will be too hard or technical [7, 13].

The question facing many CIS programs is: what measures can be taken to improve this situation? Some computing programs are beginning to examine ways to provide course offerings to a wider audience of students. One way to do this is by increasing the number of service courses that are used to fulfill basic electives or core requirements. Another option is the introduction of CIS minors for students who are not CIS majors. This can involve the development of a multi-disciplinary minor, offered in partnership with other departments throughout the university.

The CIS department within the authors’ institution, Northwestern State University, recently embarked on the development of this type of multi-disciplinary minor. As part of the process, we conducted a review of minors offered in CIS at colleges and universities in the United States. We wanted to determine if other institutions had developed similar offerings, and if so, what were the characteristics of the minor. We found that a CIS minor may also be known by other names, such as Information Systems (IS), Information Technology (IT), Computing Sciences and so forth. However, the overwhelming majority are called CIS, therefore in this paper we will use CIS to refer to this list of titles collectively.

We collected data concerning the type of minor (whether it was traditional or multi-disciplinary), the number of total credit hours required, and the types of courses that are generally included. We then analyzed this data to determine commonalities and differences in these minors. The most innovative of the multi-disciplinary minors are described. It is the author’s hope that this study will provide information to faculty who may be considering curriculum changes, particularly with respect to minor degree programs.

REASONS FOR THE DECLINE
In a recent survey of faculty at U.S. universities that offer a bachelors degree in Computer Information Systems, Management Information Systems, or Information Technology [6], seventy-six (76.1%) of the respondents reported that enrollment had decreased in the past two years. When questioned about the reasons as to why they felt that enrollment had declined at their institutions, sixty-seven (60%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, sixty-seven (67%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, sixty-six (66%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, sixty-five (65%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, sixty-four (64%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, sixty-three (63%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, sixty-two (62%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, sixty-one (61%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, sixty (60%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, fifty-nine (59%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, fifty-eight (58%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, fifty-seven (57%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, fifty-six (56%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, fifty-five (55%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, fifty-four (54%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, fifty-three (53%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, fifty-two (52%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, fifty-one (51%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, fifty (50%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, forty-nine (49%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, forty-eight (48%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, forty-seven (47%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, forty-six (46%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, forty-five (45%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, forty-four (44%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, forty-three (43%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, forty-two (42%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, forty-one (41%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, forty (40%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, thirty-nine (39%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, thirty-eight (38%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, thirty-seven (37%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, thirty-six (36%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, thirty-five (35%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, thirty-four (34%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, thirty-three (33%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, thirty-two (32%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, thirty-one (31%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, thirty (30%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, twenty-nine (29%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, twenty-eight (28%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, twenty-seven (27%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, twenty-six (26%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, twenty-five (25%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, twenty-four (24%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, twenty-three (23%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, twenty-two (22%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, twenty-one (21%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, twenty (20%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, nineteen (19%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, eighteen (18%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, seventeen (17%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, sixteen (16%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, fifteen (15%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, fourteen (14%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, thirteen (13%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, twelve (12%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, eleven (11%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, ten (10%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, nine (9%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, eight (8%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, seven (7%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, six (6%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, five (5%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, four (4%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, three (3%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, two (2%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, one (1%) blamed the outsourcing of jobs, none blamed the outsourcing of jobs.
the economy in general, sixty (60%) cited the dot
com failure, forty (40%) indicated that the drop was
cyclical in nature, and twenty-seven (27%) stated it
was due to decline in students’ analytical abilities.

The author’s own recent research has provided some
information concerning the cause for the enrollment
decline [7, 13]. In a survey of students in
introductory computer literacy courses, many of the
students reported that they did not choose a
computer-related career due to inaccurate or
insufficient information concerning computing
careers. The results also show that students have a
high level of dissatisfaction with their high school
counseling experience, and received little or no
guidance, particularly with respect to the computing
profession. Other reasons cited were the lack of
interest in a computer-related profession; they did not
think they would like the work; they thought the
work would be too hard; or they did not think that
there were very many jobs available in this field.

A related study produced very similar results.
Respondents in this study were business students in
introductory business classes. The respondents
indicated that they were knowledgeable about careers
in management, marketing, accounting, and finance;
and were least knowledgeable about information
systems. To sum it up: “This generation, which is
noted for its pervasive use of technology, has little
interest in, or awareness of, the occupations that drive
the digital age” [3].

PERCEPTIONS VS. REALITY

In actuality, students’ perceptions of career
opportunities are not aligned with reality. The
majority of students have poor information and
misconceptions about nearly every aspect of the
profession. According to the U.S. Department of
Labor, high-level jobs that combine technical and
business skills are still abundant in the U.S. The
Bureau of Labor Statistics projects that the number of
jobs in the industry sector Computer Systems Design
And Related Services, will increase by 54.6% from
2002 to 2012 [1]. In fact, the bureau predicts that
eight of the eleven fastest-growing occupations
through 2012 that require a bachelor’s degree will be
in this sector.

Other employment analysts write that the hiring in
information systems is slated for continued growth
[4, 12]. MONEY Magazine and Salary.com, a
leading provider of employee compensation data and
software, researched hundreds of jobs and compiled a
list of the “Top 10 Best Jobs in America” [8].
Software engineer was rated as the number one job;
with “Computer IT Analyst” at number 7. The
criteria for rating the jobs included projected job
growth, annual salary, work environment, annual job
openings, stress levels, flexibility in hours, creativity,
and the opportunities for advancement in the field.

The reality is that the area of information systems is
one of the fastest growing areas of employment, with
high salaries and earning potential. Two of the 10
most highly rated jobs are in this area. Therefore we
need to educate potential students to see information
systems as an energetic and growing profession, with
outstanding long-term job security, salary prospects,
and prestige.

RECRUITING IN PLACE

What can we do to increase our enrollments? One of
the low-cost measures that a CIS department may
undertake is “recruiting in place”. This is a term
that we have coined to describe activities to increase
enrollments by recruiting students who are already
enrolled at our own institution.

When the authors first begin thinking about providing
more offerings for non-majors, it required a shift in
our thinking as we were generally focused only on
CIS majors. We began to become more aware of the
inter-disciplinary nature of computing, and tried to
develop ways to collaborate with other disciplines on
our campus. Once we embraced this idea of
recruiting in place, and we found that the most direct
path to enticing students to take more CIS courses
was to offer a minor that would enhance the student’s
own chosen field of study.

As a result, we recently instituted a new CIS minor
that includes courses from Art, Mathematics, and the
University Library. The new CIS minor consists of
six courses (18 hours). Three of the courses are
required to be CIS; one required course is offered
through the university library; the other two electives
may be additional CIS courses; Computer Science
courses (offered by the Math department) or graphics
art/ web design courses (offered by the Art
Department) [14].

The CIS courses have been developed specifically for
the minor, and are at a level appropriate for students
who are not CIS majors. These courses are
Introduction to Applications Programming,
Introduction to Database Systems, Multi-Media
Communication and Presentation, and Introduction
to Information Systems. The Library course focuses
on Information Sources and Services, and is designed
to teach students to utilize the digital library to search
for and evaluate information. The library staff was
very pleased with the opportunity to create and offer
this type of course. This is the first course ever
offered by our institution’s library. The curriculum went into effect at the beginning of the Spring 2008 semester.

**CIS MINOR**

As previously stated, we discovered that a CIS minor may be known by other names, such as Information Systems or Information Technology. The number of credit hours and curriculum of a CIS minor is different for virtually every institution. The majority of the CIS minors are what we call *traditional*. The traditional CIS minor is essentially a scaled-down version of the CIS major, with all of the required classes coming from the CIS department, and the majority of courses are those that are taken by CIS majors.

We also defined two other categories of CIS minors: *composite* and *multi-disciplinary*. The composite minor is one where a majority of the courses are from the CIS department; however the student also takes courses from one other major area within the institution to complete the degree. For example, a CIS minor that also permitted Art electives in web design and development would be a composite minor.

We use the term *multi-disciplinary* to designate minors that focus on the study of information technology through its application in a variety of different fields. Departments offering computer-centric courses may include art, computer science, English, journalism, biology, and so forth. Generally a required number of courses must be taken from the computing department before the student takes elective courses from the other departments. However, the student has some flexibility when choosing electives, and therefore may tailor a program that suits his/her own interests.

The IT minor at the University of North Carolina Wilmington is an example of a multi-disciplinary minor [10]. Requirements consist of 3 computer science courses, i.e. Fluency of Information Technology, an introductory programming course, and one other computer science course of the student’s choosing. There is an additional required course offered by the university library, similar to the Information Sources and Services course described above. The remaining two courses may be selected from computer-centric courses offered by other departments: Art, Chemistry, Communications, Educational Technology, English, Film Studies, GIS, Music, Logic, and Sociology.

**METHODOLOGY**

The authors collected data about CIS minors that are offered in U.S. colleges and universities. The data was gathered using an Internet search and also through a search of directories (*College Blue Book, American Universities and Colleges, Patterson’s American Education*) and databases (*ERIC, Professional Development Collection in Education, Business Source Premier, Computer Science Index, Computer Source, Information Science and Technology Abstracts*). This search revealed that there is no compiled listing of minors in CIS.

The keywords used for the Internet search were: “minors”, “Computer Information Systems” and “Information Technology”. We found 137 institutions that offer a minor, and from which we could locate relevant information.

We compiled the following information about each minor: the name of the institution, the name of the minor, category (Traditional, Composite, or Multi-disciplinary), the number of credit hours, the number of elective credit hours, and any special requirements. In addition, we reviewed the courses that constituted the curriculum. The following generic course titles are those that were listed most often: 1) Introduction to Information Technology, 2) Programming I, 3) Management Information Systems, 4) Database Systems, 5) Systems Analysis and Design, 6) Web Development/Design, and 7) Telecommunications/Networks.

The raw data was initially collected into a spreadsheet. Pivot tables were used to cross tabulate most of the results found in the section below. Pearson Chi Square was used in testing the statistical significance related to the hypotheses listed in the section “Testing the Null Hypotheses.”

**RESULTS OF THE STUDY**

Nineteen (19) different minor titles were discovered from the computer search. Only three (3) titles, CIS, Information Technology (IT) and Information Systems (IS), emerged with a significant frequency distribution and were chosen as the primary titles for this study. There were ninety-two (92) programs with the title of CIS, fifteen (15) with the title of Information Technology (IT) and nine (9) with the title of Information Systems (IS). The remaining twenty-one titles were grouped analyzed using the title of Other. This provided a survey sample of 137 curricula.

The term “Minor Title” will be used to reference CIS, IT, IS or Other. The term “Minor Category” will be
used to denote Traditional, Composite or Multi-disciplinary.

**Research Questions:**

The authors developed a series of research questions that focus on discovering the general characteristics of these minors by examining the types of courses and number of credit hours among either the Minor Title or the Category (Traditional, Composite, and Multi-disciplinary). The following research questions are:

1. How similar or different are the average number of credit hours and elective hours among the Minor Titles (CIS, IT, IS or Other)?

2. How similar or different are the average number of credit hours and elective hours among the Minor Categories (Traditional, Composite or Multi-disciplinary)?

3. What was the distribution of Traditional, Composite or Multi-disciplinary minors among the different Minor Titles (CIS, IT, IS or Other)?

4. What was the distribution of the common courses listed in the previous section among the Minor Titles and Minor Categories?

**Research Question 1**

Table 1 below presents the analysis of total and elective hours among the Minor Titles (CIS, IS, IT or Other).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minor Title</th>
<th>Total Hours</th>
<th>Elective Hours</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Per Cent of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIS</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Technology</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Systems</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Average Degree and Elective Hours for Minor Titles

This analysis shows that all minors are relatively similar with the averages ranging from 18.5 to 20.2 hours and an overall average of 19.3 hours. The range of total hours, however, is surprisingly large, running from 12 hours to 32 hours. The Elective hours are also relatively similar with the averages ranging from 4.8 to 6.2 hours with an overall average of 6.2. The range of elective hours was also large, running from 0 hours to 18 hours.

**Research Question 2**

Table 2 below presents a comparison of the average credit hours and elective credit hours by Minor Category (Traditional, Composite and Multi-disciplinary). This analysis shows that the degrees remain very similar in total hour requirements with a range of 18.7 to 19.8 hours. The cumulative data is redisplayed to facilitate continuity of analysis when studying the tables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minor Category</th>
<th>Minor Hours</th>
<th>Elective Hours</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Per Cent of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Disciplinary</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Average Degree and Elective Hours for Minor Categories
The Multi-Disciplinary category has about 50% more elective hours (8.8) than the other two categories, which is to be expected as this minor would generally target a broader academic audience that required a more varied selection of courses.

The Traditional (6.1 hours) and Composite (4.9 hours) minors offer fewer electives, which is also expected since they tend to be designed to provide more narrowly focused courses of study. The Composite degree offers the least number of electives, which is probably the result of multiple departments who each specifies its own required content thereby leaving fewer hours remaining for electives.

Research Question 3

Table 3 and Table 4 below present cross tabulations of the distribution of Minor Categories within the Minor Titles. Table 3 displays the distribution of Minor Categories within each Minor Title as a per cent based upon the number of minors within that Minor Title; this presentation enables comparison of the differences in the categories offered by the title areas. Table 4 displays the distribution of minors as a per cent based upon the total number of minors offered.

Table 3 shows that CIS and Information Systems areas are still predominately traditional in the structure of their minor offerings. Information Technology and Other, however, appear to be moving more rapidly into non traditional offerings with one-third (33%) of the minors in these areas classified as Composite or Multi-Disciplinary. Information Technology as made the strongest move to obtain external students with 27% of its minors classified as Multi-Disciplinary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minor Categories</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Traditional</th>
<th>Composite</th>
<th>Multi-Disciplinary</th>
<th>Number of Minors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CIS</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Technology</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Systems</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Per Cent of Minor Categories within Minor Titles

Table 4 shows that 80% of the minors offered still follow the traditional format, which in most instances is simply a scaled down version of the major. The Composite and Multi-Disciplinary offerings indicate an attempt to recognize that the IS areas have the potential to enhance the understanding and incorporation of its concepts and methodologies beneficially into other disciplines in ways that allow students to deviate from the relatively rigid Traditional course offerings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minor Categories</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Traditional</th>
<th>Composite</th>
<th>Multi-Disciplinary</th>
<th>Per Cent Of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CIS</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Technology</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Systems</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Minor Categories within Minor Titles as a Per Cent of Total Minors
Research Question 4

Tables 5 and 6 display the frequency with which the common set of courses described earlier in the paper appear within the minors examined in this study.

Table 5 displays the distribution within the Minor Titles classification and Table 6 displays the course distributions with the Categories classification. The per cent is based upon the number of minors within each class.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Courses</th>
<th>Minor Title</th>
<th>Combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CIS</td>
<td>Information Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programming I</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Database Systems</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systems Analysis &amp; Design</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction to IT</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web Design/Development</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Communications</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mgt Information Systems</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Per Cent of Minor Titles Offering Common Course Titles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Courses</th>
<th>Minor Categories</th>
<th>Combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Composite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programming I</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Database Systems</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systems Analysis &amp; Design</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction to IT</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web Design/Development</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Communications</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mgt Information Systems</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Per Cent of Minor Categories Offering Common Course Titles

The data in Table 5 highlights a surprising lack of common courses with in the minors. Only two courses, Programming and Database Systems appear in half or more of the minors.

In Table 6, the data shows that the Multi-Disciplinary minor has moved well away from the traditional course offerings with 4 courses appearing in only 20% or less of these minors with remainder showing up in 45% or less of these minors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Core Offerings</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Minor Programs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Cent of Minor Programs</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative Per Cent</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. Frequency of Core Offerings in Minor Programs

Table 7 highlights the fact that the core offerings are not very universal. Only 47% of the minor programs included 4 or more of the core offerings. This indicates that over 50% of the minors offer at least 50% of the courses outside of the core offerings.
CONCLUSIONS

For several years now, U.S. colleges and universities have seen a steady decline in students majoring in STEM disciplines, particularly in computer-related fields. While there are a variety of reasons for this decline, much of the problem stems from misinformation or lack of information concerning the discipline, career opportunities, nature of the work, and concern of the outsourcing of jobs overseas.

One of the ways to address this decline in enrollments is “recruiting in place”, which we define to be recruitment of students who are already on campus. During the process of developing a CIS minor for non-majors, we collected data to determine what types of CIS minors are currently being offered by U.S. institutions. We found that, for the institutions that we examined, the overwhelming majority of CIS minors are traditional, meaning that all courses in the curriculum are CIS courses. A small percentage of those reviewed were composite minors, which means the CIS department partners with one other department. A small number of minors were classified as multi-disciplinary, where the courses that comprise the minor may come from a cross section of disciplines.

In examining the course offerings within the minors, we found that there are some commonalities concerning the courses included in the curriculum. The most commonly included classes were Introduction to Programming, Database Systems, and Introduction to Information Technology. The number of course credits required to complete the minor ranged from 15 to 30, with the average being approximately 19 credits, with 8 credits of electives.

This study is the first step taken by our CIS department to recruit more students on our own campus. We wanted to determine what other institutions were doing with respect to offering minors. We had hoped to find more multi-disciplinary minors that we could use as a model for developing one for our own campus.

Future work will include a more thorough examination of those institutions that offer multi-disciplinary bachelor’s degrees, such as those offered by the University of California at Berkeley and Georgia Institute of Technology [2]. We feel that by increasing our focus to include bachelor’s degrees, we will develop insight into how a program can link technology, business, and other disciplines.
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