Volume 13, Issue 1, pp. 40-50, 2012

#### NEW PLATFORMS FOR HEALTH HYPERMEDIA

Nicholas F. Polys, Virginia Tech, npolys@vt.edu Andrew Wood, Virginia Tech, andywood@vt.edu

#### ABSTRACT

Volume data is useful across many disciplines, not just medicine. Thus, it is very important that researchers have a simple and lightweight method of sharing and reproducing views of volumetric data. In this paper, we explore some of the challenges associated with volume rendering, both from a classical sense and from the context of Web3D technologies. We describe and evaluate the ISO X3D Volume Rendering Component specification and its associated styles by several key criteria: Representation, Implementation, Interaction, and Interoperability/Integration. Additionally, we examine the ability for a minimal X3D node set to capture provenance and semantic information from outside ontologies in metadata and integrate it with the scene graph. These examples of cross-platform visualization have strong implications for IT platforms supporting volume data in research, education and practice.

Keywords: Information Technology (IT), Multimedia, Electronic Health Records, Health Informatics

#### INTRODUCTION

Volume rendering is an established and powerful tool for visualizing information that is difficult to present using conventional 3D techniques, such as polygonal meshes and point sets. Volume rendering allows the presentation of multiple overlapping, interdependent structures within a dataset simultaneously. There are many different techniques with which to render a volumetric data set, each able to tease out and highlight different information depending on the areas of interest and the distribution of values in the volume. For enterprise and regulatory use such as Electronic Health Records however, volume rendering suffers from crucial limitations: specifically the reproduction of volume rendering visualizations across platforms and vendor tools.

While individuals can create impressive and enlightening visualizations of volumetric data, the process for colleagues and collaborators to recreate these presentations can be complicated and depends on many different factors, such as work domain, platform, and specific software. As reproducibility is one of the central tenants of respectable science and enterprise scalability, stakeholders need a way to share their visualization results which is simple and exact. So far, there has been work towards standardizing volume data formats, but this effort has been almost exclusively in realms of medical data and only on the data interchange level, not the presentation interchange level. But volumetric information is useful for many different domains from confocal microscopy in Cellular Biology to a Paleontologists' micro-fossil scans, to non-invasive scans such as bridges or the Transportation Security Administration baggage at airports. While the fundamental data type is the same, there remains a conspicuous gap in reproducible volume renderings.

#### **Volume Rendering**

There has been much research into volume rendering techniques since the emergence of the field, most of which is outside of the scope of this paper. For a general survey of volume rendering techniques, see Kaufman and Mueller [12]; for a perceptual evaluation approach, see [3]. There has been less work in the past decade concerning volume rendering within the context of Web3D technologies. Behr and Alexa [2] proposed a volume rendering component for VRML based around 2D and 3D textures, but this work is one of the few general-purpose efforts. Other Volume rendering innovations including Web3D technology are in the realm of healthcare and medical training. Web3D technologies, particularly X3D along with a volume rendering component, have been used mostly in anatomical and procedural and haptic training for clinicians such as those described by John [9]. Another specific training system for endoscopic and surgical procedures [10] uses X3D extended with volume rendering and haptic feedback to create a high fidelity of interaction and visualization with the patient's actual scan data.

Volume 13, Issue 1, pp. 40-50, 2012

#### Extensible 3D (X3D)

Extensible 3D Graphics (X3D), with its modular Profiles, simple XML-based syntax, and cross-platform tool support is already positioned to fill the niche of cross-discipline portability for static and interactive 3D graphics data [6, 15, 18]. The International Standardization Organization (ISO, http://www.iso.org) X3D scene graph provides a rich functionality for the portrayal of meshes, appearances, animations and interactive web multimedia. Recently, a new VolumeRendering component for X3D 3.3 has been published, which now offers the same value for the open, cross-platform presentation of volumetric data. The X3D component includes a number of different rendering styles that provide different transfer functions and parameters for rendering volumetric data; these styles may also be combined in interesting ways to visualize information across domains.

In addition to describing and displaying volumetric data, X3D also provides meta-data support at a fundamental level: every node in the scene can have metadata of any type associated with it. This metadata can be referenced to specific knowledge bases, allowing the X3D graphics runtime to include or link semantic information to the scene. Specific target knowledge bases for the medical domain are the FMA (http://sig.biostr.washington.edu/projects/fm/) and SNOMED (http://www.snomed.org), but the concept is certainly not limited to medical ontologies. This integration of semantics with the X3D scene graph, along with the new VolumeRendering component, serves as a simple and consistent medium for effective communication by the reproduction of meaningful presentations through a simple and proven interchange node set. In addition, with the existing sensor and event/trigger framework of X3D, we can elevate these environments to fully interactive, multi-dimensional presentations.

#### Web3D Consortium Medical Working Group

The X3D Medical Working Group (MWG) of the X3D Consortium has been developing a medical imaging profile (MedX3D) and a Volume Rendering Component (VRC) for X3D. Originally funded by the National Library of Medicine and the Army's Telemedicine and Advanced Technology Research Center (http://www.tatrc.org), this specification addresses the needs of the medical community for reproducible 3D visualization of medical images over the network. The VRC to X3D was created after a thorough search of volume rendering styles in the literature was undertaken. It was decided that the focus of the specification was to add the most common and currently used rendering styles, including: boundary enhancement, cartoon, composite, edge enhancement, isosurface, opacity map, silhouette enhancement and the Gooch shading model of two-toned warm/cool coloring. The TATRC grant report [1] and the *Medicine Meet VR* paper [8] provide accessible introductions to the scope and design of the specification; the Volume Rendering Component and Medical Interchange Profile are now part of ISO X3D 3.3.

#### **DICOM n-Dimensional Presentation States**

Since 2008, the Web3D Consortium and DICOM display standard Working Group (WG 11; http://medical.nema.org/) standards bodies have been working together to align stakeholders and requirements for a Work Item scoped toward the specification of DICOM n-Dimensional Presentation states. The work item for n-Dimensional Presentation States is born out of the need for consistent presentation of volumes, surfaces, animations and annotations across the healthcare enterprise. From the most advanced medical centers to combat doctors in the field to small clinics, caregivers and patients need to be able to re-create and view their medical image data and its annotations. With a significant amount of 3D and 4D information residing in DICOM files, the need for interoperable access to these as interactive renderings is crucial.

#### CHALLENGES AND SCOPE

There are many challenges to cross-platform, reproducible volume rendering. In order to evaluate the suitability of new ISO-standard scene graph (X3D 3.3) for the interactive presentation of volume and mesh data communication and the integration to Electronic Health Records, we evaluate the specification and technology by four high-level criteria: Representation, Implementation, Interaction and Integration.

Volume 13, Issue 1, pp. 40-50, 2012

#### Representation

In the world of volume rendering, there are a plethora of tools and techniques to choose from to produce a real-time visualization. This variety is, however, a two-edged sword. Where options flourish, there is a lack of standardization. Each of the many different modalities of volumetric information often has its own file format, courtesy of the proprietary scanning device. For example, Magnetic Resonance (MR) data alone may be in any number of other proprietary formats. To make matters worse, there is no standard set of supported formats across renderers. The DICOM format is a notable exception and has made strides to standardize an interchange format for image-related data, but that is largely unhelpful to those outside of medicine. If a user wishes to share their data with a colleague from a different discipline (or a visualization center), it falls to one of the parties to figure out how to coordinate file formats and renderers--often a challenging task. HDF5 [7] offers a scalable path forward and should be considered as an open n-D data container going forward.

#### Implementation

Key challenges to reproducible volume presentation over the web include both perceptual and technical considerations. The rendered product of an engine can be confounded by different application requirements, programmer conventions and graphics libraries. Depending on the degree and nature of these differences, the rendered images may present subtly different perceptual cues, jeopardizing the reproducibility of an interpretation or conclusion. Thus the role of conformance tools for implementation efforts cannot be underestimated. In order to reproduce a volume rendering consistently across several platforms, we seek to identify a 'greatest common denominator' set of functionality. The ISO scene graph specified in VRML and X3D provides well-defined data structures and semantics for polygonal rendering of complex, real time scenes including lights, materials, animations and behaviors. For the new X3D Volume rendering styles, common requirements were derived from a broad survey of techniques in use by industry and validated by experts.

The efficiency of rendering algorithm implementations also varies widely and volume rendering tends to be computationally heavy. Even in the state-of-the-art algorithms, we are faced with the classic tradeoff between high-fidelity models and interactive models. Thus we must consider the issue of scalability. In increasingly large data sets, it becomes difficult to use commodity or thin clients to render big data, especially at interactive rates. Thus, the trend to server-side (in situ) rendering of large datasets at the high-performance computing data center where there is fast I/O, big CPU, RAM and GPUs. As rendering large data moves to the server, image buffers are served or streamed to clients and may be compressed using a number of video compression codecs; in addition, user interactions on the client machine must have real time effect on the rendering (such as navigation, selection and manipulation).

#### Interaction

Yet another challenge for volume data presentation concerns interactivity and usability from a 3D User Interface (3DUI) perspective. There are several important aspects to this challenge. Generally these aspects can be categorized as: navigation, selection, manipulation and system control [4]. In basic volume rendering, navigation is mostly limited to simple orbital rotation and possibly zooming; pre-defined camera trajectories for animation are common. In many cases, users need to be able to select sub-components or segmentations of a volume and dynamically alter the render properties of that segment, perhaps through menus and buttons. Finally, many programs offer various tools to examine the interior of a volume by manipulating sliders or cutting planes/shapes to clip the volume.

From clinical and other use cases, common volume presentations include meshes, appearances, textures, text, animation and lights. To organize and render objects in the scene, we use parent-child relationships such as Groups, Transforms, Billboards and Switches. To reproduce an interactive scenario, such as an e-Book of anatomy, we would also need to instantiate widgets such as buttons and sliders and have access to environmental, point and drag sensor events. In addition, there are exciting applications pushing interaction into new modalities such as in the area of haptic rendering for telemedicine and surgical training [13, 17]. Looming large are further challenges in 3DUI for

Volume 13, Issue 1, pp. 40-50, 2012

volume rendering applications. For example, Curved Planar Reformation [11] provides a technique to project or flatten winding 3D structures such as vasculature in order to extract a linear measurement from it (e.g. the length of a stent in a curved artery). Such an application provides the user a way to define a 3D curve along which the volume is projected. In this way, curved structures like vasculature and the spine can be measured accurately. Working with practitioners and technicians, the Web3D Medical Working Group is gathering the required parameters to specify such reproducible presentation functionality.

#### Integration

A key challenge to the efficiency of both the clinical and research enterprise is the integration of multiple data sources and records. For example, there is no consistent practice for cross-referencing 3D spatial structures, features or segments such as anatomy with patient databases or clinical procedure codes. In addition, new forms of knowledge representation such as ontologies and the semantic web can provide richer machine reasoning, but are not widely explored or adopted in interactive 3D graphics. Broad integration of this kind is still rare principally because of the challenge in harmonizing the data structures of knowledge schemas and ontologies with the data structures of interactive 3D worlds, a.k.a. scene graphs. We have demonstrated X3D worlds that could be enhanced with semantic information include: integrating medical volume visualizations with the SNOMED ontology (see below) and integrating a geologic or seismic profile/reading with the SEDRIS Environmental Data Coding Specification (EDCS) vocabulary (http://www.sedris.org/edcs.htm).

#### RESULTS

As of May 2012, the new VolumeRendering component of X3D is a Draft International Standard (DIS) under international ballot by the ISO as part of X3D 3.3 (*ISO/IEC DIS 19775-1.3:201x*). This component adds support for a variety of volume rendering techniques and styles, and seeks to solve many of the challenges described in the preceding section. Additionally, X3D already offers infrastructure to address event-based programming and interactivity concerns, and can be further extended to incorporate other information models and web services.

The X3D 3.3 VolumeRendering component specification is already supported by popular X3D engines. InstantReality (http://www.instantreality.org) is known for its industrial-strength rendering system, powerful extensions and cross-platform support. The open-source Haptics 3D (H3D) from SenseGraphics (http://www.h3dapi.org) offers extensive volume rendering features in addition to support for haptic devices. Because of the additional render style support, we chose to use H3D to provide all of the following figures. Both of these are web-aware (http) platforms that run on Win, Apple, and Linux operating systems.

#### Representation

While MedX3D offers many options and styles for rendering volumes, we first have to get our target volume data into a format that is readable by H3D. Our datasets are originally available in zipped RAW, NRRD, and PNG stack formats, courtesy of Volvis.org and the Web3D working group. Since H3D has strong built-in support for NRRD (nearly-raw raster data), we first convert each of the datasets to this format through the simple command-line utility `unu make,' provided by the UNRRDU utilities of Teem (http://teem.sourceforge.net). Although UNRRDU does support image stacks, we instead chose to use the free image editor ImageJ (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/) to convert the stack to a RAW file before running unu.

#### Implementation

In this section we take a brief look at some of the new volume rendering styles available from X3D, along with the tags that formalize such parameters. For all of the following examples, we are using a simple scene graph with a minimal number of nodes defined, with one scene and group tag. The following VolumeData snippet is used in all of the examples, where we merely change out the `VolumeRenderStyle' with a specific tag and use the appropriate dataset. To achieve our results, we also defined a scaling Transform and specific Viewpoint.

Volume 13, Issue 1, pp. 40-50, 2012

<VolumeData dimensions='1.28 1.28 1.28'>

```
<!-- VolumeRenderStyle node here-->
```

</VolumeData>

#### **Projection Styles**

The first of several categories of rendering style we examine are the projection styles, which are variants of the <ProjectionVolumeStyle/> tag, shown in Figure 1 as compared to the default OpacityMap style (Figure 1a). Essentially this method works by casting rays from the camera into the volume. If the type attribute is set to MAX, only largest intensity values for each ray are returned (Maximum Intensity Projection---MIP) and rendered (Figure 1b). The algorithm performs similarly for type='MIN' and type='AVERAGE'. An additional parameter, intensityThreshold, can be used with MIP to return the first voxel the ray intersects which crosses the given threshold. To obtain Figure 1c, we used the tag:

<ProjectionVolumeStyle type='MAX' intensityThreshold='0.25' />



**Figure 1:** CT scan of a backpack using different projection rendering styles: a) Opacity-mapped (default), b) Maximum Intensity Projection, c) Thresholded Projection (LMIP)

#### **Enhancement Styles**

A second category of rendering styles work by computing the surface normals of the volume's voxels, and applying different lighting techniques to emphasize features of the data. Edge (Figure 1b) boundary, and silhouette styles all color voxels based on how close to orthogonal their normal vector comes to the viewpoints'. They are all similar in syntax, for example: <EdgeEnhancementVolumeStyle />. The cartoon style (Figure 1c) behaves similarly, but with a user specified number of color steps. The tone mapped (Figure 1d) style works similarly to the default opacity map, but maps colors to intensities instead of opacity values. Finally, the shaded style is a little more complicated, and allows the user to supply custom materials, lighting, and shadows. The code to produce Figure 2e is as follows:

```
<ShadedVolumeStyle lighting='TRUE' shadows='TRUE'>
<Material diffuseColor='0.5 1' specularColor='1 1 1' ambientIntensity='.4'/>
</ShadedVolumeStyle>
```

## 44

Volume 13, Issue 1, pp. 40-50, 2012



**Figure 2:** Enhancement RenderStyles applied to a brain MRI; top row: a) Opacity-mapped, b) Edge Enhanced, c) Cartoon; bottom row: d) Tone-mapped, e) Shaded style

#### **ISOSurface Volumes**

A common technique for displaying volumes is to display isosurface contours at specific threshold values. X3D provides this capability through the IsoSurfaceVolumeData node (as opposed to the VolumeData node). The volume can then be rendered with any of the normal enhancement styles discussed above. The code to render Figure 3 was rendered with the following code replacing the VolumeDataNode.

<ISOSurfaceVolumeData surfaceValues='.15' dimensions='1.28 1.28 1.28'>

<CartoonVolumeStyle colorSteps='32'/>

<ImageTexture3D containerField='voxels' url='"./Datasets/skull.nrrd"'/>

</ISOSurfaceVolumeData>



Figure 3: ISOSurface rendering, using CartoonVolumeStyle

#### **Combining Styles and Volumes**

In addition to the individual rendering styles and volumes, X3D also offers a variety of ways to combine styles and volumes to provide a more customizable and distinctive rendering. These techniques include composing styles on an individual volume, selectively choosing styles to render segments of a volume, and combining separate volumes (blending).

# Issues in Information Systems Volume 13, Issue 1, pp. 40-50, 2012

Technique	Description	Code Fragment & Example
Composing	Certain enhancement styles are	<composedvolumestyle></composedvolumestyle>
Styles	'composable' and can be combined	<silhouetteenhancementvolumestyle< th=""></silhouetteenhancementvolumestyle<>
·	together with the	silhouetteBoundaryOpacity='1'
	ComposedVolumeStyle node to gain	silhouetteRetainedOpacity=.1
	the benefits of each. This style can be	<edgeenhancementvolumestyle< th=""></edgeenhancementvolumestyle<>
	applied anywhere any of the other	gradientThreshold='.8' edgeColor='.5 0 0'/>
	enhancement styles can, including	
	within blended and isosurface	<imagetexture3d <="" containerfield="voxels" th=""></imagetexture3d>
	volumes. Figure 4 is the result of	url="backpack.nrrd"/>
	combining and silhouette	
	enhancement styles:	A B B
		R State Bar
		and a second
		0
		Figure 4
Segmentation	In order to make sub-components of	<segmentedvolumedata< th=""></segmentedvolumedata<>
8	a volume stand out, a segmentation	dimensions='2.304 2.304 1.116'>
	file can be supplied and a different	<imagetexture3d <="" containerfield="segmentIdentifiers" th=""></imagetexture3d>
	style applied to each segment. Figure	url="mri_ventricles_segment.nrrd"/>
	5 was rendered with two	<image 1="" 3d="" container="" exture="" field="Voxels&lt;/th"/>
	ImageTexture3D nodes within a	<opacitymapvolumestyle></opacitymapvolumestyle>
	SegmentedData node, one for the	<tonemappedvolumestyle></tonemappedvolumestyle>
	segmentation data, one for the	
	volume. Different styles are then	
	simply placed sequentially, at the	
	same level as the texture nodes. The	State and a state of the state
	following node replaces the	and the second se
	VolumeData node:	A BE A LEAST AND A DE AND
		Figure 5
Blending	In addition to selectively rendering	<blendedvolumestyle weightconstant1="0.51"></blendedvolumestyle>
6	components of a single volume, two	<tonemappedvolumestyle></tonemappedvolumestyle>
	separate volumes may be blended	<imagetexture3d <="" containerfield="voxels" th=""></imagetexture3d>
	together (with separate styles) using	uri= "internals.nrrd"/> 
	the BlendedVolumeData node.	
	Figure 6 was rendered with the	url=""body.nrrd"'/>
	following code inside the	

#### Table 1. Methods for visually composing volume renderings

Volume 13, Issue 1, pp. 40-50, 2012



#### Interaction

X3D manages complex multi-dimensional information for real-time rendering through two well-known representations, collectively known as a `Scene graph'. The X3D specification describes the scene graph as a single-parent, n-child hierarchy of nodes (a directed acyclic graph); this tree structure serves as the `transformation graph', which embodies both spatial and logical relationships among the nodes. Because X3D also has well-defined event types and semantics for event evaluation, we can consider the second representation of a scene a `Behavior graph', which describes the circuitry (or routes) of information among the nodes. While the Volume Rendering Component provides a standard baseline for the interchange of reproducible volume presentations, X3D as a language provides much more.

We implemented two X3D applications that demonstrate interactive level functionality with volume rendering. The first uses a DICOM data set of a torso where bones and skin are surfaced as a polygonal meshes and reside under switches that can be toggled on or off by user selection. This example included perspective and orthogonal Viewpoints, HUD for buttons and logos, Text, Billboard, 2D circles and arrows to mark features as well an animated endoscopy trajectory for a viewpoint. The second example included the foot dataset with several rendering styles driven by buttons, which implemented mouseOver behavior (Figure 7, right). In addition, this example included the ability to toggle on or off inline movable grids, a rotation animation, or a procedure annotation. Script nodes were not needed for either of these example applications - Event Utility ROUTES were sufficient.



Figure 7: Example interactive presentations described with X3D MedicalInterchange Profile node set.

Volume 13, Issue 1, pp. 40-50, 2012

#### Integration

X3D is designed for the networked information ecology and is designed to play well with others. One powerful example is the integration of semantic web technologies with the scene graph. In X3D, each node can carry a metadata set. This metadata could include any number of things including the provenance of a particular segmented surface, the authorship of 2D markup or annotations, and well as semantic information referencing some external knowledge base. Through the US Army TATRC grant, we developed and demonstrated the lossless integration of FMA and SNOMED vocabularies, references, and relationships with the X3D scene graph. Ontology types such as Integers and Booleans map directly to X3D 3.3 Metadata types. However, we had to devise simple rules of our own for attaching metadata terms to Shapes and Groups:

1. MetadataSet nodes refer to their sibling Transform node, where the object's shape geometry may be specified. A sibling Group node may be instantiated for parts or subdivisions of the referent object. This allows larger containing structures or anatomical systems to be easily accessible programmatically and additional detail accessible when needed

2. The MetadataSet node is instantiated with its source specified as the reference field (e.g. FMA,. SNOMED); its children are typically MetadataString nodes specifying its attributes and its relationships to other entities in the source ontology

3. Unique identifier names of source entities (integers) are prepended with an `m'. This allows result data to conform to the Web3D scene graph identifier convention (DEF); to cross-reference corresponding entities in the scene graph or to programmatically access named nodes, one must remove this first character (`m') and compare it with a MetadataSet node's name='' attribute.

#### CONCLUSIONS

**Representation** – Volume data format standardization remains a challenge, and likely will for the foreseeable future. The only suggestion we can make is that the X3D specification enforce compatibility with certain, general data types that have support through open libraries and tools, specifically DICOM and NRRD and RAW. Volume data ultimately boils down to stacks of images and metadata, which can then be converted via free and open-source tools into such standards as DICOM and NRRD.

**Implementation** - X3D offers a wide range of rendering styles, each with their strengths and their weaknesses. For instance, the different projection styles in Figure 1 each show different items, which may be harder to see with other rendering methods. The enhancement styles (such as the brain Figure 2), really bring out the intricate surface detail, but say little of the structure underneath. Rendering the volume with segments of different render styles (such as in Figure 5) or blending volumes partially solves this problem. The vocabulary (node set) of the X3D MedicalInterchange Profile presents several powerful options for composing visual presentations of volume imagery. We have shown the expressiveness of the standard to capture and reproduce the kinds of volume renderings commonly seen in industry, academia and government.

**Interaction** - Bundling Interactive Profile Nodes and Components such as Sensors and Navigation with volume rendering has demonstrated rich applications across use cases from anatomical education, and informed consent. Event Utilities are remarkably expressive for user interface widgets, animation behaviors and basic logic. One issue that should be addressed is that an X3D ClipPlane (ISO-IEC -19775-1.2 clause 11.4.1) is defined as an inputOutput SFVec4f field with the exposed field of plane="", which specifies a four-component plane equation that describes the inside and outside half space. The first three components are a normalized vector describing the direction of the plane's normal direction. Unfortunately, there are no specified Interpolators or Event Utilities to animate this type, thus no easy way to ROUTE data (such as sensor events) to this node without including a Script node in the scene.

**Integration** - It is clear that the X3D scene graph has rich metadata capabilities. Being able to associate semantic classes and relationships with the graphical objects in a scene graph provides at least two primary integration options: terminology can be embedded in the X3D scene file itself OR use it can use the ontologies ID conventions

Volume 13, Issue 1, pp. 40-50, 2012

to reference to an external (URI/URL) store. By adopting simple conventions, several ontologies can be referenced from within an X3D file or live scene graph. We look forward to further work integrating semantics with the ISO scene graph- it opens up a huge new set of knowledge-driven, interactive 3D graphics applications.

In this paper, we have shown that X3D volume rendering offers cross platform reproducibility across domains at both the interchange and interactive functional levels. From medical imaging to non-invasive sensing, we have noted how such an ISO specification for interactive presentations meets the key `repeatability' and `durability' requirement of repeatable n-D volume image presentation over the web. The Web3D Consortium works with many other industry groups to insure the harmonization of international standards that are open and royalty-free. X3D's content model is extensible enough to accommodate the semantics of these domains and we have demonstrated the principles by which multiple ontologies could be integrated with the X3D scene graph. By all counts, X3D 3.3 offers a capable platform for the storage and delivery of volume visualizations.

We will continue to test the perceptual and technical scalability of interactive volume rendering applications across multiple platforms and displays- most immediately, across immersive environments with stereo rendering and 6DOF head and wand tracking. Our group will focus on developing and evaluating 3DUI techniques for information and interaction design using experimental displays and devices. For example, we are interested in user perception and performance across tasks where we manipulate variables such as: graphics resolution, screen form factor (size, surround), information layouts, and explore new interaction designs including a range of input and haptic devices and their corresponding techniques. We are just beginning to explore the value that immersive visual analysis of volumetric data can provide. Recent results [14] show that increasing certain factors of immersion for users can achieve better performance on common volume analysis tasks. It is crucial that the greatest common denominator display standard is durable, but can grow with the commoditization of immersive technologies [15] and new computational physics models [16].

Even with this greatest (declarative) common denominator for volume rendering styles, there is room for multiple implementations - and innovations on top of - the specification. We believe there is tremendous value in an open source implementation to insure common reference and consistency among engines. Future work should focus on adoption and conformance efforts for the X3D ISO Volume Component and its RenderingStyles. For example adding X3D Volume Component support to open source tools such as Paraview and Visit and adding NRRD and RAW to DICOM as the list of supported volume data file formats. Also furthering the goals of wide dissemination and broad impact, we speculate there will be opportunity to improve the Volume rendering capabilities of WebGL (OpenGL ES) as exposed through high-level declarative scene graph representations such as X3DOM.org.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. ARATOW, M., JOHN, N. A., COUCH, J., EVESTEDT, D., HUDSON, A., POLYS, N., PUK, R., RAY, A., VICTOR, K., WANG, Q., AND GODIL, A. 2007. TATRC grant report: X3D Volume Visualization.
- www.web3d.org/documents/medical/2008/Web3D TATRCFinalReportPublic.pdf , Web3d Consortium.
- 2. BEHR, J., AND ALEXA, M. 2001. Volume visualization in VRML. In *Proceedings of the sixth international conference* on 3D Web technology, ACM, New York, NY, USA, Web3D '01, 23–27.
- 3. BOUCHENY, C., BONNEAU, G.-P., DROULEZ, J., THIBAULT, G., AND PLOIX, S. 2009. A perceptive evaluation of volume rendering techniques. *ACM Trans. Appl. Percept.* 5 (February), 23:1–23:24.
- 4. BOWMAN, D. A., KRUIJFF, E., LAVIOLA, J. J., AND POUPYREV, I. 2004. *3D User Interfaces: Theory and Practice*. Addison Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc., Redwood City, CA, USA.
- BRENTON, H., HERNANDEZ, J., BELLO, F., STRUTTON, P., PURKAYASTHA, S., FIRTH, T., AND DARZI, A. 2007. Using multimedia and Web3D to enhance anatomy teaching. *Comput. Educ.* 49 (August), 32–53.
- BRUTZMAN, D., AND DALY, L., X3D: Extensible 3D Graphics for Web Authors (The Morgan Kaufmann Series in Interactive 3D Technology), Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., San Francisco, CA, 2007.
- 7. DOUGHERTY, M. T., FOLK, M. J., ZADOK, E., BERNSTEIN, H. J., BERNSTEIN, F. C., ELICEIRI, K. W., BENGER, W., AND BEST, C. 2009. Unifying biological image formats with HDF5. *Queue* 7 (October), 20:20–20:25.
- JOHN, N. W., ARATOW, M., COUCH, J., EVESTEDT, D., HUDSON, A., POLYS, N., PUK, R. F., RAY, A., VICTOR, K., AND WANG, Q. 2008. MedX3D: standards enabled desktop medical 3D. *Studies In Health Technology And Informatics* 132, 189–194.

49

Volume 13, Issue 1, pp. 40-50, 2012

- 9. JOHN, N. W. 2007. The impact of Web3D technologies on medical education and training. *Comput. Educ.* 49 (August), 19–31.
- JUNG, Y., RECKER, R., OLBRICH, M., AND BOCKHOLT, U. 2008. Using X3D for medical training simulations. In Proceedings of the 13th international symposium on 3D web technology, ACM, New York, NY, USA, Web3D '08, 43–51.
- 11. KANITSAR, A., FLEISCHMANN, D., WEGENKITTL, R., FELKEL, P., AND GR"OLLER, M. E. 2002. CPR: Curved Planar Reformation. In *Proceedings of the conference on Visualization '02*, IEEE Computer Society, Washington, DC, USA, VIS '02, 37–44.
- 12. KAUFMAN, A., AND MUELLER, K. 2004. The Visualization Handbook. Academic Press, ch. 7, 127–174.
- 13. KURMOS, L., JOHN, N. W., AND ROBERTS, J. C. 2010. Integration of haptics with Web3D using the SAI. Web3D 09 Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on 3D Web Technology 1, 212, 25–32.
- 14. LAHA, B.; SENSHARMA, K., SCHIFFBAUER, J.D., BOWMAN, D.A., "Effects of Immersion on Visual Analysis of Volume Data," *Visualization and Computer Graphics, IEEE Transactions on*, vol.18, no.4, pp.597-606, April 2012.
- 15. POLYS, N.F., BRUTZMAN, D., STEED, A., BEHR, J., "Future Standards for Immersive VR: Report on the IEEE Virtual Reality 2007 Workshop," *Computer Graphics and Applications, IEEE*, vol.28, no.2, pp.94-99, March-April 2008.
- 16. ULLRICH, S., KUHLEN, T., POLYS, F., EVESTEDT, D., ARATOW, M., AND JOHN, N. W. 2011. Quantizing the void : Extending web3d for space-filling haptic meshes. In *Medicine Meets Virtual Reality*, 670–676.
- VIDAL, F. P., JOHN, N. W., HEALEY, A. E., AND GOULD, D. A. 2009. Simulation of ultrasound guided needle puncture using patient specific data with 3D textures and volume haptics. *Computer Animation And Virtual Worlds* 19, 2, 111– 127.
- WEB3D CONSORTIUM. 2012. Extensible 3D (X3D) specifications: ISO/IEC 19775-1 (Abstract Spec), ISO/IEC 19775-2 (SAI), ISO/IEC 19776-1 (XML Encoding), ISO/IEC 19776-2 (utf-8 Encoding, ISO/IEC), 19776-3 (Binary Encoding). Available at: http://www.web3d.org.