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ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to empirically validate an e-learning courseware usability model, which consisted of three usability components: fundamental, appearance, and information presentation. Each component was comprised of a number of factors/usability properties essential to the design of usability of an e-learning courseware. Data from 119 subjects, taking online courses, were analyzed using Factor Analysis. The results of the study revealed that the three components of the instrument were empirically validated to be reliable and interpretable among their associated factors. Conclusions and recommendations are made based on the findings.
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INTRODUCTION

A study by Allen and Seaman (2014) noted that the popularity of e-learning is souring rapidly. Over 7 million higher education students are taking at least one online course and this number is growing every year. Today, there are more academic leaders favoring e-learning than in the past years. In fact, they rate the learning outcomes of the distance education as comparable (and often superior) to those in face-to-face classes (Allem & Seaman, 2014).

The delivery of instructional content is normally accomplished using a courseware, also known as a platform or a learning management system (LMS). Koohang (2004a, 2004b) asserted that a critical success factor in successful e-learning instructional design is the usability of the e-learning courseware.

Usability, in general, is the ability of a system or a product to effectively and efficiently meet the user needs and specifications leading to user satisfaction and user adoption (c.f. Koohang, 2004a). Keinonen (1998, 62) asserted that usability characteristics are related to "1) the product’s design process, 2) the product itself, 3) use of the product, 4) user experiences of the product or 5) user expectations." ISO 9126 (1991) defined usability as “a set of attributes that bear on the effort needed for use, and on the individual assessment of such use, by a stated or implied set of user”. Shackel (1991) asserted that “usability of a system or equipment is the capability in human functional terms to be used easily and effectively by the specified range of users, given specified training and user support, to fulfill the specified range of tasks, within the specified range of environmental scenarios”. Caplan (1994) asserted that usability was a critical aspect of system/software design. The author defined usability as the user comfort that is experienced using a system or a product.

In general, usability of a system or a product is the measure of the quality of the experience users acquire (Rubin, 1994; Rosenbaum, 1989; Guillemette, 1989; Dumas & Redish, 1993; Shackel 1991; Nielsen 1993; Nielsen, 2000). The successful outcome of the quality of the user's experience with a system or a product depends on the usability attributes.


The attainment of the usability attributes depends on usability properties, i.e., ease of use, simplicity, navigability, etc. These properties must be designed and built into the system for achieving usability (Rubin, 1994; Rosenbaum,
E-learning courseware can be delineated as a web-based course management system or platform that allows the design, development of creative activity, and delivery of e-learning courses through the Internet (Koohang, 2004a). Usability of e-learning courseware refers to various things such as the platform specifications, cover layout, the navigational systems and construction, the aesthetic qualities, and the qualities that promote user-friendliness (Koohang & du Plessis, 2004); therefore, contributing to user satisfaction and user acceptance that positively influences the learning process (Koohang & du Plessis, 2004; Koohang, 2004a). E-learning courseware usability is important because it functions as the major stream to the instructional concept (Koohang, 2004a; Koohang, 2004b; Koohang & du Plessis 2004; Koohang, 2012).

E-LEARNING COURSEWARE USABILITY MODEL

The e-learning courseware usability model that is advanced by the authors of the present study is based on a stream of research on courseware usability (Koohang, 2004a; Koohang, 2004b; Koohang & du Plessis 2004). The model consists of three components. They are fundamental, appearance, and information presentation. Each component embraces a number of associated usability properties describing its affluence. The associated usability properties for each component in the model are as follows:

E-Learning Courseware Usability - Fundamental Component

The fundamental component is the basis for e-learning courseware usability, which helps users with efficiency, satisfaction, and learnability. This component includes six usability properties. They are:

1. **Simplicity**: The e-learning courseware should be simple, uncomplicated, and straightforward.
2. **Comfort**: Users must be comfortable using the e-learning courseware.
3. **User friendly**: The e-learning courseware should be easy to use.
4. **Control**: Users should be in control of what they are doing when using the e-learning courseware.
5. **Navigability**: Users should easily navigate and get to where they want to go when using the e-learning courseware.
6. **Load time**: Pages should load quickly in the e-learning courseware.

E-Learning Courseware Usability - Appearance Component

The appearance component helps users with memorability, error, and learnability. The usability properties of this component include the following:

1. **Recognition**: Users quickly identify the key points presented for carrying out tasks when they use e-learning courseware.
2. **Visual appearance**: The visual appearance (text boldfacing, italicizing, underlining, font size, link visibility) is present throughout the e-learning courseware.
3. **Consistency**: Consistency of appearance, terms, words, and action are present throughout the e-learning courseware.
4. **Well-organized**: The pages are well-organized and structured in the e-learning courseware.

E-Learning Courseware Usability - Information Presentation Component

The information presentation component assists users with efficiency, memorability, error, and learnability. It includes four usability properties. They are:

1. **Understandability**: The information in the e-learning courseware is understandable and easy to read.
2. **Relevancy**: The information presented in the e-learning courseware is relevant to what users need to know.
3. **Adequacy**: The information presented in the e-learning courseware is no more/no less than what users need to know.
4. **Right to the point**: The information in the e-learning courseware is concise and right to the point.
The model contends that all components are interrelated. They are critical to e-learning courseware usability and must be present, with their associated factors, in the design of e-learning courseware usability.

PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

The purpose of this study was to empirically validate our e-learning courseware usability model. Based on the study's purpose, three research questions were advanced:

RQ1: Is the fundamental component of the instrument empirically validated to be reliable and interpretable among its six usability properties: 1) simplicity, 2) comfort, 3) user friendly, 4) control, 5) navigability, and 6) load time?

RQ2: Is the appearance component of the instrument empirically validated to be reliable and interpretable among its four usability properties: 1) recognition, 2) visual appearance, 3) consistency, and 4) well-organized?

RQ3: Is the information presentation component of the instrument empirically validated to be reliable and interpretable among its four usability properties: 1) understandability, 2) relevancy, 3) adequacy/task match, and 4) right to the point?

METHODOLOGY

Instrument

The instrument for this study was designed based on our e-learning courseware usability model. The instrument consists of 14 items divided into three components (fundamental, appearance, and information presentation) that describe the usability of e-learning courseware. Each component included a number of usability properties describing the component. The items of the instrument were as follows:

1. The e-learning courseware is simple, uncomplicated, and straightforward.
2. I am comfortable using the e-learning courseware.
3. The e-learning courseware is easy to use.
4. I feel I am in control of what I am doing when I use the e-learning courseware.
5. Using the e-learning courseware, I can easily navigate and get to where I want to go.
6. Pages load quickly in the e-learning courseware.
7. I quickly identify the key points presented for carrying out tasks when I use the e-learning courseware.
8. The visual appearance (text boldfacing, italicizing, underlining, font size, link visibility) is present throughout the e-learning courseware.
9. I notice the consistency of appearance, terms, words, and action throughout the e-learning courseware.
10. The pages are well-organized and structured in the e-learning courseware.
11. The information in the e-learning courseware is understandable and easy to read.
12. The information presented in the e-learning courseware is relevant to what I need to know.
13. The information presented in the e-learning courseware is no more/no less than what I need to know.
14. The information in the e-learning courseware is concise and right to the point.

Items 1 - 6 represented the usability properties for the fundamental component. Items 7 - 10 represented the usability properties for the appearance component. Items 11 - 14 represented the usability properties for the information presentation component. The instrument used a Likert-type scale with the following scoring strategy: strongly agree = 5, agree = 4, neither agree nor disagree = 3, disagree = 2, and strongly disagree = 1.

Procedure and Participants

This study took place in a higher education institution located in the Southeast USA. After obtaining approval from the Institutional Research Board (IRB), the instrument was administered electronically to 123 subjects who were studying in an undergraduate information technology online program. Four of the 123 collected data were incomplete, yielding 119 total usable data for the study. The subjects were male (53%) and female (47%) ranging
from 18 to over 40 years of age. The subjects were guaranteed confidentiality and assured that their responses would be kept confidential.

**Data Analyses**

Collected data were analysed via SPSS, a statistical analysis software. Kaiser criterion procedure was conducted to determine the number of factors that could be retained. This procedure retains only factors with eigenvalues greater than 1 as common factors and eliminates the factors with eigenvalues less than 1. Next, the scree test, a graphical representation of the eigenvalues, that uses principal component analysis was conducted to ascertain data points above the break point where the number of factors that are retained. Subsequently, principal component analysis with varimax rotation was conducted to force the number of components with their associated factors that could be retained. Finally, Cronbach alpha procedures were conducted to determine internal consistency among the factors for each component.

**RESULTS**

Kaiser criterion revealed three factors with eigenvalues greater than 1 that could be retained. These factors, therefore, were included in factor analysis using principal components analysis. (See Table 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Rotation Sums of Squared Loadings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.748</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis

Furthermore, the scree test using principal component analysis acknowledged the data points above the break and indicated three factors to be retained. The principal component analysis with varimax rotation forced three components, with their associated factors, to be retained. (See Table 2)

Component 1 retained factors 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6, which represented the fundamental properties in the model. The factors are:

- Factor 1 - Simplicity
- Factor 2 - Comfort
- Factor 3 - User friendly
- Factor 4 - Control
- Factor 5 - Navigability
- Factor 6 - Load time

Component 2 retained factors 7, 8, 9, and 10, which represented the appearance properties in the model. The factors are:

- Factor 7 - Recognition
- Factor 8 - Visual appearance
- Factor 9 - Consistency
- Factor 10 - Well-organized

Component 3 retained factors 11, 12, 13, and 14, which represented the information presentation Properties in the model. The factors are:

- Factor 11 - Understandability
- Factor 12 - Relevancy
- Factor 13 - Adequacy/task match
- Factor 14 - Right to the point
### Table 2: Rotated Component Matrix - Varimax with Reliability Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E-Learning Courseware Usability Components</th>
<th>Component</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fundamental Component's Usability Properties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simplicity</td>
<td></td>
<td>.752</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comfort</td>
<td></td>
<td>.724</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User friendly</td>
<td></td>
<td>.789</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td>.753</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigability</td>
<td></td>
<td>.832</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load time</td>
<td></td>
<td>.619</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appearance Component's Usability Properties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.863</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual appearance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.889</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-organized</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Presentation Component's Usability Properties</td>
<td></td>
<td>.820</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understandability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevancy</td>
<td></td>
<td>.752</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequacy/task match</td>
<td></td>
<td>.736</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right to the point</td>
<td></td>
<td>.555</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cronbach's Alpha</td>
<td></td>
<td>.878</td>
<td>.803</td>
<td>.860</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONCLUSIONS**

This study advanced and validated an e-learning usability model. The model consisted of three components with their associated usability properties: fundamental component (simplicity, comfort, user friendly, control, navigability, and load time); appearance component (recognition, visual appearance, consistency, and well-organized); and information presentation component (understandability, relevancy, adequacy/task match, and right to the point). The model asserted that these components with their associated properties constitute successful e-learning usability that result in usability attributes such as learnability, efficiency, memorability, error, and satisfaction.

Data were collected and analyzed using factor analysis with Varimax rotation. The results showed that the fundamental component, the appearance component, and the information presentation component explained for 26.978%, 20.630%, and 19.629% of the variance in the collected data respectively.

The findings of this study revealed that all three components in the model were empirically validated to be reliable and interpretable among their associated factors. Specifically, the following statements were concluded:

1. The six usability properties of the fundamental component (simplicity, comfort, user friendly, control, navigability, and load time) in the model were empirically validated to be reliable and interpretable as items associated with this component.
2. The four usability properties of the appearance component (recognition, visual appearance, consistency, and well-organized) in the model were empirically validated to be reliable and interpretable as items associated with this component.
3. The four usability properties of the information presentation component (understandability, relevancy,
adequacy/task match, and right to the point) in the model were empirically validated to be reliable and interpretable as factors associated with the component.

The findings of this study support the e-learning usability courseware model's assertion that all components of the model, with their associated usability properties, are critical to the e-learning courseware usability. Therefore, this study recommends that the usability properties of the three components (fundamental, appearance, and information presentation) of the model advanced in this study be included in the design of e-learning courseware usability. The design of these usability properties influences the user learnability, efficiency, memorability, error, satisfaction, and other usability attributes, consequently impacting the learning. Furthermore, the findings of this study suggest that the instrument, driven from our model, can be used as a measurement tool to assess e-learning courseware usability.

This study was limited to a sample of convenience from one higher education institution in the USA. Further research should focus on different population sample to improve the generalizability of the results.
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