Issues in Information Systems
Volume 26, Issue 4, pp. 233-247, 2025

DOI: https://doi.org/10.48009/4_iis_2025_120

The Effectiveness of AI-Driven Tools in Improving Student Learning Outcomes Compared to Traditional Methods

Myungjae Kwak, *Middle Georgia State University, myungjae.kwak@mga.edu*

Abstract

This study investigates the effectiveness of AI-driven tools—specifically adaptive learning platforms and intelligent tutoring systems—in enhancing student learning outcomes compared to traditional instructional methods. Through a systematic review of 21 empirical studies published between 2015 and 2025, the research synthesizes findings across quasi-experimental, qualitative, mixed-methods, and quantitative designs. The majority of studies report substantial improvements in academic performance, engagement, and knowledge retention among students using AI-supported systems. Performance gains ranged from 15% to 35%, with increased task completion efficiency and higher learner satisfaction. However, the effectiveness of these tools varied depending on context, implementation strategies, and subject matter. Key challenges include data privacy, infrastructure limitations, algorithmic bias, and the need for educator training. The review highlights the transformative potential of AI in education while underscoring the importance of human-centered integration and long-term evaluation. Future research should focus on the scalability, ethical governance, and ability of AI tools to support higher-order cognitive skills and equitable access across diverse learning environments.

Keywords: AI-driven tools, adaptive learning platforms, personalized learning, intelligent tutoring systems

Introduction

The rapid evolution of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has had a transformative impact across various sectors, and education is no exception. AI technologies are increasingly being integrated into classrooms and learning management systems to create more personalized, responsive, and scalable educational experiences. Among the most prominent applications are AI tutoring systems and adaptive learning platforms, which are designed to assess individual learner needs in real time and adjust instructional content accordingly. These tools aim to emulate the benefits of one-on-one tutoring by providing tailored feedback, tracking student progress, and adapting the pacing and complexity of lessons based on performance.

As educational institutions worldwide strive to enhance student achievement and engagement, AI-driven tools have been positioned as innovative solutions capable of addressing the limitations of traditional instructional methods. Conventional approaches—characterized by static curricula, one-size-fits-all instruction, and limited real-time feedback—often struggle to meet the diverse needs of learners, particularly in large or resource-constrained classrooms. By contrast, AI-powered systems promise to individualize learning pathways, automate assessments, and increase student motivation through interactive and dynamic interfaces. This shift reflects a broader movement toward learner-centered pedagogies that leverage technology to optimize outcomes.

However, despite their growing adoption and the theoretical advantages they offer, questions remain regarding the actual effectiveness of these AI tools in improving student learning outcomes. While some studies suggest notable gains in academic performance, engagement, and knowledge retention, others report marginal or inconsistent effects. Moreover, concerns related to data privacy, algorithmic bias, accessibility, and the potential devaluation of human-centered pedagogy add layers of complexity to their implementation. These uncertainties highlight the need to critically evaluate empirical evidence on AI-driven learning systems.

This study seeks to address the central research question: *How effective are AI-driven tools in improving student learning outcomes compared to traditional methods?* Specifically, it focuses on AI tutoring systems and adaptive learning platforms, analyzing their impact on academic performance, student engagement, and knowledge retention. To answer this question, a systematic review of empirical literature published between 2015 and 2025 was conducted, encompassing various educational contexts, methodologies, and AI applications. The findings aim to provide a nuanced understanding of both the potential and limitations of AI in education, offering insights into best practices, implementation challenges, and directions for future research.

Literature Review

AI-Driven Adaptive Learning vs. Traditional Methods

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in education offers numerous benefits, particularly in enhancing personalized learning experiences. AI systems adapt instructional content based on student performance, enabling self-paced learning and tailored feedback. This approach increases student engagement, improves retention, and deepens the understanding of complex subjects like mathematics and healthcare (Abolnejadian, Alipour, & Taeb, 2024; As'ad, 2024).

By leveraging generative AI and specialized agents, educational technology can deliver highly personalized, adaptive learning experiences that resemble the effectiveness of one-on-one tutoring. These systems dynamically adjust instructional content, offer real-time feedback, support metacognitive development, and facilitate skill acquisition. AI-based adaptive learning systems also automate educational processes such as content creation, assessment, and feedback, further optimizing learning outcomes and fostering a dynamic learning environment (Akramovna, 2024; As'ad, 2024; Bhatia, Bhatia, & Sood, 2024; Dhaniswara, Novico Zani, Subhan Iswahyudi, & Syafral, 2024).

A substantial body of research indicates that AI-driven adaptive and personalized learning systems offer several potential advantages. These include the capacity to provide tailored instruction and support, ultimately enhancing student engagement, motivation, and academic performance. AI-based systems can also automate administrative tasks and streamline assessment processes, potentially increasing efficiency and freeing up educators' time. However, the adoption of AI in education also raises important challenges and ethical considerations. Concerns have been raised regarding data privacy, the potential for algorithmic bias, and the need to carefully balance technological innovation with the crucial role of human interaction in the learning process (Castillo, 2024) (Guettala, Bourekkache, Kazar, & Harous, 2024). Addressing these challenges is essential for responsible and equitable AI implementation in education.

Challenges in AI Implementation

Research highlights both the potential and limitations of AI in education. AI enhances personalized learning, efficiency, and student outcomes like motivation, retention, and academic performance (Bhatia, Bhatia, & Sood, 2024). However, traditional methods—such as discussion-based inquiry and teacher-student interaction—remain superior in fostering deep critical thinking and analytical skills (Castillo, 2024).

AI-powered tools, like chatbots and virtual tutors, support language education but often lack cultural nuance and may reduce creativity or encourage over-reliance (Urbaite, 2025). Ethical challenges, including data privacy, algorithmic bias, and infrastructure gaps, persist, necessitating robust teacher training and a proactive approach to ensure equitable and effective integration (Ngo Ndjama, 2025; Wongmahesak, Karim, & Wongchestha, 2025). Thus, AI should complement, not replace, human-centered pedagogy for sustainable educational impact (Castillo, 2024).

Future Research Directions of AI-Driven Tools

Future research across the reviewed studies emphasizes the need for deeper exploration into the ethical, pedagogical, and systemic implications of AI in education. Ndjama (2025) highlights the importance of developing scalable, culturally sensitive AI frameworks, particularly in under-resourced regions, along with ethical standards to address algorithmic bias, data privacy, and transparency (Ngo Ndjama, 2025). Similarly, Wongmahesak et al. (2025) call for research into AI governance frameworks and the ethical use of predictive analytics in compulsory education (Wongmahesak, Karim, & Wongchestha, 2025). Both suggest longitudinal studies to evaluate AI's long-term impact on learning outcomes, equity, and teacher roles.

In the domain of language learning, Sangkala and Mardonovna (2024) and Urbaite (2025) point to the need for enhancing AI's cultural and emotional intelligence to better simulate human interaction (Sangkala & Sulaymanova Mardonovna, 2024; Urbaite, 2025). They recommend studies on hybrid instructional models combining AI tutors with human educators, along with investigations into the effects of AI reliance on critical thinking and creativity. Future work should also explore adaptive systems that assess fluency and creativity more effectively, and integrate AI tools into formal curricula without displacing human mentorship. These directions highlight a shift toward responsible, inclusive, and pedagogically aligned AI implementation in education.

Methodology

Research Design

This study reviews articles following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines to ensure rigor and transparency. The review synthesizes quantitative and qualitative studies to provide a comprehensive analysis of AI-driven tools' effectiveness.

Criteria for Study Inclusion

This review will include peer-reviewed studies published between January 2015 and April 2025 that investigate the effectiveness of Artificial Intelligence (AI) tutoring systems or adaptive learning platforms compared to traditional instructional methods such as teacher-led instruction or textbook-based learning. Eligible studies must report on outcomes related to academic performance, student engagement, or knowledge retention. Research conducted within K-12, higher education, or vocational education contexts will be considered. Only English-language articles published in peer-reviewed journals will be included to ensure the quality and relevance of the findings.

Studies will be excluded if they are non-empirical in nature, such as opinion pieces, theoretical discussions, or editorials that do not present original research data. Additionally, studies focusing exclusively on non-AI educational technologies, including generic e-learning platforms that do not incorporate adaptive or AI-driven features, will not be considered. This ensures the review remains focused on the specific impact of AI-driven educational interventions.

Search Strategy and Study Selection
A comprehensive search was conducted across databases, including Google Scholar, Scopus, and IEEE Xplore, using the following keywords: ("artificial intelligence" OR "AI") AND ("tutoring system" OR "adaptive learning") AND ("education" OR "learning outcomes") AND ("traditional methods" OR "conventional teaching"). The search was completed in April 2025. Studies were screened in two stages: (1) title and abstract review to assess relevance, and (2) full-text review to confirm eligibility.

Data Extraction
Data extraction gathered key information from each study to support comprehensive synthesis and comparison. Extracted data included study characteristics such as publication year, sample size, and the educational level or context in which the research was conducted. Details about the AI tool employed, such as tutoring systems or adaptive learning platforms, were recorded. Key measured outcomes, including academic performance indicators (e.g., test scores), engagement metrics (e.g., participation rates), and retention measures (e.g., long-term knowledge recall) were systematically collected. Where available, effect sizes or qualitative findings regarding the impact of AI tools on learning were documented. Additionally, each study's reported limitations and potential sources of bias were noted to assess the overall quality and reliability of the evidence.

Results

Study Selection
The search yielded 10,400 studies. After removing duplicates (n=5,642), 4,758 studies were screened. Of these, 178 underwent full-text review, and 21 met inclusion criteria. The studies included 8 quasi-experimental studies, 3 qualitative studies, 4 mixed methods (quantitative and qualitative) studies, 6 other quantitative methods studies. The selected studies examined various AI-based adaptive learning systems across diverse educational contexts, using methodologies ranging from quasi-experimental pre/post tests to mixed-method approaches. These studies utilized AI tools such as large language models (ChatGPT), machine learning techniques (k-means, SVR, ANN), adaptive learning systems (ALEKS, Smart Sparrow, IBM Watson), intelligent tutoring systems (ITS), reinforcement learning, and platforms integrating gamification and virtual learning assistants. Key outcomes showed notable improvements in student engagement, performance, retention, and satisfaction. Specifically, adaptive AI-driven methods reported performance enhancements ranging from 15% to 35%, increased engagement by up to 40%, faster task completion rates, and enhanced personalized instruction. However, some studies identified challenges including infrastructure limitations, privacy concerns, usability issues, and systemic conflicts in implementation. Notably, one study reported minimal impact on grades, indicating that effectiveness varies by context and implementation.

Synthesis of Findings

Quasi-Experimental Studies
The 8 quasi-experimental studies collectively demonstrate that AI-based adaptive learning systems significantly improve student outcomes across diverse educational settings. These systems—leveraging tools like ChatGPT, machine learning models, and real-time analytics—enhanced personalized instruction, engagement, and performance. Students using AI-supported platforms outperformed control groups by 15–35% in learning gains, completed tasks more efficiently, and reported higher satisfaction. The findings underscore the effectiveness of AI in tailoring education to individual needs, boosting mastery, and transforming both K–12 and higher education environments.

Abolnejadian et al. (2024) examined the use of ChatGPT in a high school CS1 (introductory Python) course through a custom platform delivering personalized explanations, examples, and exercises tailored to students' backgrounds. Using prompt engineering and anonymized learner profiles, the system dynamically adjusted content to each student's proficiency. The experimental group of 49 students, taught with ChatGPT support, showed significantly improved learning outcomes compared to the 36 students in the traditional instruction group. Specifically, the ChatGPT group outperformed the control group by up to 15.4% in quiz scores across three sessions and reported higher satisfaction and confidence levels (91% preferred AI-based learning). The platform enhanced student engagement and allowed instructors to shift from lecturing to mentoring (Abolnejadian, Alipour, & Taeb, 2024).

Abrar et al. (2025) investigated AI-powered personalized learning pathways combined with dynamic assessments in a six-week quasi-experiment involving 200 higher education students. Using machine learning and real-time analytics, the system adapted content and assessment difficulty based on students' responses and learning behaviors. Compared to the control group using traditional methods, the AI-driven group achieved 25% higher learning performance, completed tasks 25% faster, and demonstrated a 15% increase in engagement. The system continuously updated the instructional path based on a student's evolving knowledge state, enabling timely feedback and personalized support. These results highlight the effectiveness of AI in delivering scalable, individualized education that promotes efficiency and mastery (Abrar, et al., 2025).

Matazu (2024) examined the effects of AI-Blended Learning and AI-Personalized Learning using ChatGPT-3.5 on undergraduate biology students' attitudes and performance in climate change education. Seventy students were divided into three groups: AI-Blended, AI-Personalized, and traditional instruction. Results showed that the AI-Blended group demonstrated the highest improvement in both learning outcomes and student attitudes, emphasizing the effectiveness of combining traditional methods with AI-driven instruction for complex scientific topics (Matazu, 2024).

Eltahir & Babiker (2024) conducted at Ajman University, this quasi-experimental study assessed the impact of AI tools like ChatGPT and Moodle plugins on pre-service teacher training. With 110 students split equally between control and experimental groups, the study found that the AI-enhanced group significantly outperformed the control in academic achievement, critical thinking, and knowledge retention. The integration of AI also improved engagement and motivation, showcasing the transformative potential of adaptive tools in e-learning environments (Eltahir & Babiker, 2024).

Ezzaim et al. (2024) proposed and tested a multi-factor adaptive e-learning system for high school computer science students in Morocco. The system incorporated diverse learner attributes—such as past academic performance, learning styles, and leisure interests—by leveraging algorithms like k-means clustering, support vector regression, and neural networks. These elements enabled the creation of personalized learning paths tailored to each student's unique profile. The experimental group, which used the adaptive system, demonstrated significantly higher academic performance and engagement compared to the control group. Specifically, the system led to an average improvement of 23.5% in academic performance, validating the effectiveness of integrating multi-dimensional learner data into adaptive e-learning environments (Ezzaim, Dahbi, Haidine, & Aqqal, 2024).

Shanthi et al. (2025) introduced an AI-powered adaptive IT training framework aimed at improving knowledge retention and engagement among 200 IT professionals. The system utilized AI, machine learning, natural language processing, and cloud computing to dynamically adjust content based on learner feedback and performance. Compared to traditional training methods, the AI-driven system achieved a 35% increase in knowledge retention, 40% boost in engagement, and a 22% reduction in training time,

highlighting its practical advantages in professional development (Shanthi, et al., 2025). Tulus Ujianto et al. (2025) targeted database systems education. Their study developed and evaluated an AI-based adaptive learning framework using Q-learning for real-time personalization. With 100 students divided into experimental and control groups, the research demonstrated that the adaptive system led to a 30% improvement in test scores versus 15% in the control group. The system also enhanced student engagement and time spent on task, confirming the value of adaptive learning in technical, skill-intensive subjects (Tulus Ujianto, Jannah, & Nisa, 2025).

Wang et al. (2023) evaluated the effectiveness of an AI-powered adaptive learning system, Squirrel AI Learning, by comparing its performance with teacher-led instruction in China across two experiments. The first study involved 200 eighth-grade students comparing Squirrel AI with traditional large-group instruction, and the second involved 102 students comparing it with small-group teaching (3 students per class). Using randomized and quasi-experimental methods, both studies found that students using the adaptive system showed significantly greater improvements in math test scores than those taught by expert human teachers. Effect sizes were medium to large ($g = 0.54$ and 0.73), translating to 14.4 and 25.5 percentile point gains, respectively. Challenges included potential novelty effects, limited duration (3 days), and differing instructional topics and formats, which may affect long-term generalizability (Wang, et al., 2023).

Table 1. Summary of Quasi-Experimental Studies

Study	Sample Size	AI Tools	Focus Area	Key Outcomes
Abolnejadian et al. (2024)	85	ChatGPT, prompt engineering, web platform	Python programming (CS1)	15.4% in quiz scores across three sessions; higher satisfaction and confidence levels (91% preferred AI-based learning).
Abrar et al. (2025)	200	ML (RF, RNN, LSTM), NLP, reinforcement learning	General education	The AI-driven group achieved 25% higher learning performance, completed tasks 25% faster, and demonstrated a 15% increase in engagement.
Matazu (2024)	70	ChatGPT-3.5	Biology	AI-Blended Learning group exhibited the highest performance gains, with academic achievement scores improving by 18% compared to the Traditional Instruction group, and by 10% over the AI-Personalized Learning group.
Eltahir & Babiker (2024)	110	ChatGPT, Moodle	Instructional Design	Post-test scores increased by approximately 20%.
Ezzaim et al. (2024)	73	ML Algorithms, Moodle	High School Computer Science	Significantly improved academic performance and higher engagement
Shanthi et al. (2025)	200	AI/ML, NLP, Cloud	IT Training	The experimental group had 35% higher knowledge retention, 40% higher engagement, and 22% less training time.
Ujianto et al. (2025)	100	Q-learning	Database Systems	The experimental group improved by 30% in test scores, while the control group improved by only 15%.
Wang et al. (2023)	200 (102)	Squirrel AI, Machine Learning	Weekend Math Tutoring Program	AI group scores improved by 8.86 points; control improved by 1.47 points

Qualitative Studies (Observation, Interview, & Formative Intervention)

The three qualitative studies explored the use of AI tools in education. Across various educational settings, AI applications such as adaptive learning platforms, real-time feedback, and intelligent tutoring systems were generally found to improve student engagement, understanding, and learning outcomes. However, challenges like technical issues, data privacy, infrastructure limitations, and difficulties with long-term adoption were reported.

bin Salem (2024) explored the integration of AI tools in personalized learning environments across various educational levels. The study found that AI-driven platforms significantly enhanced student engagement by customizing learning paths, adjusting content difficulty, and providing real-time feedback. Teachers and students reported that these tools improved classroom participation, with educators noting increased student questioning and discussion. Notably, students using AI tools achieved an average academic performance improvement of 17.3%, according to comparative analysis of their grades and test scores over the study period. The study emphasized the necessity of teacher training and robust technical support to maximize the benefits of AI in education (bin Salem, 2024).

Munawwaroh & Adeoye (2024) employed a qualitative case study approach at an Indonesian madrasah and demonstrated that AI-based educational tools significantly surpassed traditional textbook methods by offering personalized content and immediate feedback. Results showed a marked increase in students' conceptual understanding, particularly for those initially struggling (up to 55.6% improvement). The implementation of AI also promoted essential skills such as critical thinking and active engagement, although issues around data privacy were raised (Munawwaroh & Adeleke Adeoye, 2024).

Utterberg Modén et al. (2021) conducted a qualitative investigation into the use of AI-supported peer feedback in higher education. Their study showed that students who engaged with the AI-enhanced feedback system reported improved writing quality, deeper engagement in revisions, and more confidence in academic communication. Importantly, students improved their final assignment grades by an average of 15% compared to previous writing submissions without AI-assisted feedback. The authors highlighted that integrating AI into feedback processes can foster more reflective and self-regulated learning habits among students (Utterberg Modén, Tallvid, Lundin, & Lindström, 2021).

Table 2. Summary of Qualitative Studies

Author(s)	Research Method	Context	Key AI Tools	Key Outcomes	Challenges Identified
bin Salem (2024)	Qualitative (Interviews, Observations)	Multi-level educational settings	Adaptive learning platforms, real-time feedback	Enhanced engagement & academic outcomes, personalized instruction	Technical issues, data privacy, steep learning curve
Munawwaroh & Adeoye (2024)	Qualitative Case Study	Madrasah in Indonesia	Real-time feedback, personalized content	Improved understanding (up to 55.6%), increased engagement, skill development	Data privacy concerns
Utterberg Modén et al. (2021)	Qualitative Formative Intervention	Secondary school mathematics (Sweden)	Intelligent Tutoring System (ITS)	Initial improved outcomes & engagement; eventual abandonment	Predictability, division of labor, individual vs collective learning issues

Mixed Methods Studies

Aleksandrovich et al. (2024) evaluated adaptive learning systems in biology education through a mixed-method approach involving 150 biology students. Researchers used pre- and post-assessments, adaptive platform analytics, and qualitative feedback from student interviews and focus groups. Key outcomes included notable improvements in academic performance (25-30%), increased student engagement and satisfaction (20-35%), and better retention of biological concepts (15-28%). Challenges noted were refining adaptive algorithms, ensuring adequate training for educators, maintaining data privacy, and managing resource-intensive system implementation (Aleksandrovich, et al., 2024).

Matere (2024) assessed the effectiveness of various AI tools—including Intelligent Tutoring Systems, Adaptive Learning Platforms, Virtual Learning Assistants, Automated Grading Systems, and Learning Analytics Systems—in 15 higher education institutions in Kenya. Employing a mixed-method approach with surveys and interviews, the study identified a strong positive correlation (r=.781) between the use of AI tools and improved teaching and learning outcomes. The study highlighted AI's potential in delivering personalized learning, enhancing assessment efficiency, and improving feedback quality. However, challenges such as limited AI accessibility, uneven integration within curricula, lack of comprehensive faculty training, and inadequate infrastructure were prominent barriers to broader adoption (Matere, 2024).

Sari, Tumanggor, & Efron (2024) investigated AI-powered adaptive learning systems, particularly Smart Sparrow and IBM Watson Education, across diverse educational settings involving 300 students and 50 educators. Quantitative analyses revealed substantial improvements in student performance, with average post-assessment scores rising significantly from 68.4 to 82.7. These AI tools also enhanced student engagement and course completion rates compared to traditional methods. Despite these positive outcomes, the study identified key implementation challenges, including institutional readiness, training educators, infrastructural needs, and ethical considerations around data privacy and algorithmic biases (Sari, Tumanggor, & Efron, 2024).

Soelistiono (2023) implemented the Adaptive Intelligent Learning System (AILS), which integrates Natural Language Processing (NLP), 3D animated teacher visualization, and Google Search to personalize instruction in Indonesian junior high schools. The study combined survey, interview, and statistical analysis to evaluate the system's impact on teaching and learning. Teachers adopted a facilitator role, supporting students' autonomy and critical thinking while AILS tracked student progress and provided tailored feedback. Quantitative results revealed that students using AILS showed a 19.6% average improvement in learning outcomes compared to those using traditional methods, as confirmed by a t-test analysis of post-instruction assessments. Qualitative feedback further supported AILS's role in increasing student motivation and interactivity both in class and at home, especially in underserved regions (Soelistiono, 2023).

Table 3. Summary of Mixed Methods Studies

Author(s)	Methods & Participants	AI Tools/Systems	Key Outcomes	Challenges
Aleksandrovich et al. (2024)	Mixed methods; 150 biology students	Adaptive platforms, AI chatbots, gamification	25-30% improvement; increased engagement (20-35%)	Algorithm refinement, training, data privacy
Matere (2024)	Mixed methods; faculty, students from 15 Kenyan institutions	ITS, VLAs, LAS, Automated Grading	Strong correlation (r=.781) with effective learning; personalized feedback	Accessibility, integration, training, infrastructure

Author(s)	Methods & Participants	AI Tools/Systems	Key Outcomes	Challenges
Sari, Tumanggor, & Efron (2024)	Mixed methods; 300 students, 50 educators	Smart Sparrow, IBM Watson	Scores improved from 68.4 to 82.7; higher engagement & completion	Institutional readiness, training, ethical concerns
Soelistiono (2023)	Mixed methods; Students & teachers in Indonesian schools	AILS, NLP, 3D animations, Google integration	Improved autonomy, interactivity, personalized learning; enhanced outcomes	Curriculum alignment, regional disparity, training

Additional Quantitative Studies

Lechuga & Doroudi (2023) explored algorithms for grouping students using data from ALEKS, an adaptive tutoring system. Three distinct grouping methods were proposed: within-module grouping, curriculum-wide grouping, and reciprocal pairing. These methods significantly improved classroom practices by aligning personalized learning data with differentiated instruction and collaborative learning. Evaluations indicated that their algorithms consistently outperformed traditional grouping methods. Specifically, the within-module grouping method placed above the 90th percentile in nearly all evaluated modules, and above the 95th percentile half of the time, based on practical metrics measuring item overlap among student groups. Similarly, the reciprocal pairing method achieved a minimum 88th percentile ranking, substantially surpassing random or score-based grouping methods (Lechuga & Doroudi, 2023).

Mounkoro et al. (2024) quantitatively evaluated AI-powered tutoring systems' user satisfaction, personalization capabilities, and overall efficacy through survey data from 250 participants. Results indicated a moderate level of satisfaction and engagement among users, although these factors did not strongly predict the effectiveness of the systems. Specifically, linear regression analysis showed negligible predictive power (R^2 = -0.009), indicating no significant relationship between perceived satisfaction, personalization, and the system's effectiveness. Additionally, the internal reliability of the survey was weak (Cronbach's Alpha = 0.175), underscoring the necessity for further refinement of AI tutoring system design and assessment tools to enhance their efficacy (Mounkoro, et al., 2024).

Murray & Pérez (2015) compared adaptive learning technology against traditional learning methods within a digital literacy university course. The study concluded that adaptive learning systems demonstrated negligible improvement over traditional methods. Specifically, average exam scores showed minimal differences, with students using adaptive learning achieving average exam scores of 84.38 and 85.11, compared to 84.13 and 83.15 for students using traditional quizzes. Statistical analyses revealed no significant difference between the two instructional methods, underscoring that while adaptive learning technology is viewed as a promising educational innovation, it did not significantly enhance learning outcomes within the studied context (Murray & Perez, 2015).

Purnama et al. (2023) onducted a longitudinal, quasi-experimental investigation of AI-based automated learning systems in secondary education English classes. Employing a mixed-methods approach including tests, surveys, and interviews, the study reported substantial sustained improvements in students' language proficiency and cognitive skills. Specifically, students exposed to AI-driven learning demonstrated enhanced English content understanding, expanded vocabulary, and increased communication confidence over extended periods. Additionally, cognitive development analyses indicated broader skill gains in critical thinking, problem-solving, and analytical reasoning. Positive behavioral changes were also notable, as evidenced by heightened engagement and motivation among participants. Nevertheless, the researchers highlighted significant challenges related to pedagogical integration, curriculum development, and ethical

considerations such as data privacy and equitable access (Purnama, Widya Fransiska, Muhdi, & Meisarah, 2023).

Saleem et al. (2025) examined the impact of AI-based personalized learning systems on student performance and engagement through quantitative analysis of data collected from 268 university instructors. Findings revealed a high positive correlation (r=0.74) between AI usage and student performance, indicating significant improvements in participation and engagement. However, concerns around accessibility, data privacy, and ethical implementation were also significant (Saleem, Umar Aziz, Jawed Iqbal, & Abbas, 2025).

White (2020) evaluated the relationship between adaptive learning technology usage (specifically, McGraw-Hill's LearnSmart®) and undergraduate student outcomes. Employing correlation and t-tests, the study found no significant differences in academic performance between users and non-users of the adaptive system. Nevertheless, students reported high satisfaction levels, suggesting that adaptive technologies might cater more to user preference and convenience rather than improving academic performance directly (White, 2020).

Table 4. Summary of Additional Quantitative Studies

Study	Methods	Context	AI Tools/Methods	Key Outcomes	Challenges
Lechuga & Doroudi (2023)	Algorithmic group formation	Algebra classes using ALEKS	ALEKS Adaptive Intelligent Tutoring System	Effective student groupings; nuanced insights into multidimensional student abilities	Integration complexity with classroom pedagogy
Mounkoro et al. (2024)	Quantitative survey analysis	General educational context	AI-powered tutors providing personalized learning	Moderate user satisfaction and engagement; no strong predictive effectiveness	Data consistency, bimodal data distribution, technical challenges
Murray & Pérez (2015)	Comparative analysis	Higher education setting	Adaptive learning technology	*Negligible impact* on learning outcomes; similar performance to traditional methods	Technology integration and scalability
Purnama et al. (2023)	Quasi-experimental longitudinal design with pre-/post-tests, surveys, interviews	Secondary education English classes	AI-based automated learning systems (ITS, NLP, adaptive systems)	Improved language proficiency, cognitive development, and engagement	Pedagogical integration, curriculum adaptation, ethical considerations
Saleem et al. (2025)	Quantitative correlation and regression analysis	Higher education	AI-based personalized learning systems	Improved student performance, high engagement; positive correlation (r=0.74)	Accessibility, data privacy, ethical AI implementation
White (2020)	Correlation analysis and independent-samples t-test	Undergraduate management information courses	Adaptive Learning Technology (ALT - LearnSmart®)	*No significant relationship* between ALT usage and test grades; high student satisfaction	Limited evidence of improved learning outcomes

Discussions

This systematic review analyzed 21 empirical studies across quasi-experimental, qualitative, mixed-methods, and quantitative research designs to assess the effectiveness of AI-driven tools—particularly tutoring systems and adaptive learning platforms—in enhancing student learning outcomes. Across methodologies, AI-based learning systems consistently improved academic performance, engagement, and knowledge retention compared to traditional methods.

Impact of AI-Driven Tools

Quasi-experimental studies reported the strongest gains, with AI-supported students outperforming peers by 15–35% on assessments and showing greater engagement and satisfaction. Tools like ChatGPT, Squirrel AI, and machine learning systems facilitated personalized instruction, real-time feedback, and tailored assessments, promoting self-paced and mastery-based learning. For instance, students using AI-blended models in biology or programming courses displayed significant improvements not only in test scores but also in motivation and conceptual understanding.

Mixed-methods studies further confirmed these trends. Several studies reported academic performance improvements between 20% and 30% and identified strong correlations between AI tool adoption and positive educational outcomes. Students responded positively to systems that incorporated gamification, virtual assistants, and natural language interfaces, which contributed to higher completion rates and deeper learning. Moreover, these tools were particularly impactful in fields requiring sequential mastery such as math, biology, and computer science.

Qualitative findings added nuance, revealing that while AI tools supported engagement and differentiated learning, they also introduced challenges. Teachers often struggled with implementation due to steep learning curves, infrastructure gaps, and uncertainties in aligning AI outputs with curriculum goals. Despite this, educators acknowledged the value of adaptive platforms in enhancing student autonomy and providing insights into individual learning progress.

Quantitative studies presented a more mixed picture. While some demonstrated strong positive correlations between AI usage and improved performance, others reported minimal or no significant difference compared to traditional methods. In such cases, student satisfaction with AI systems remained high, indicating that while performance benefits may be variable, learners appreciate the flexibility and responsiveness these tools offer.

Barriers to AI Implementation

Despite the promising outcomes associated with AI-driven educational tools, several challenges limit their broader implementation and impact. A primary concern across multiple studies was data privacy and ethical use. The collection and processing of student data by AI systems raise significant questions regarding informed consent, algorithmic transparency, and potential biases in adaptive decision-making. Without clear regulations and ethical frameworks, AI systems risk misuse or biased outcomes. Another pressing issue is infrastructure readiness. In many educational institutions—particularly in developing regions—there are limitations in internet connectivity, hardware availability, and technical support, which inhibit the successful deployment of sophisticated AI systems.

Additionally, the lack of comprehensive training for educators creates barriers to effective integration. Teachers often feel unprepared to navigate AI tools or align them with instructional goals, resulting in underutilization or misapplication of these resources. Additionally, some studies noted challenges in integrating AI-generated content into existing curricula or pedagogical practices, particularly in inquiry-

based or collaborative learning environments. These challenges underscore the need for strategic planning, institutional investment, and inclusive design to ensure that AI integration is both responsible and effective.

Future Research Directions

Future research should move beyond short-term outcome assessments to investigate the sustained and holistic impact of AI-driven tools on student learning. Longitudinal studies are particularly needed to evaluate whether improvements in test scores translate into deeper knowledge retention, transferability of skills, and long-term academic success. Another key area for future exploration is the role of AI in supporting higher-order thinking, such as critical reasoning, creativity, and problem-solving. Most existing systems focus on adaptive delivery of factual or procedural content, but further innovation is required to design AI environments that foster metacognitive development.

Researchers should also investigate the scalability and contextual adaptability of AI tools, especially in under-resourced educational settings where access and infrastructure may be limited. Evaluating cost-effectiveness alongside pedagogical impact will be essential for informing policy and investment decisions. Additionally, the development of ethical frameworks and transparent algorithmic standards must become central to the research agenda, ensuring that AI tools uphold fairness, inclusivity, and data integrity. Finally, hybrid instructional models that integrate AI support with human facilitation should be rigorously studied to determine best practices for blending automation with the irreplaceable value of teacher-student interaction.

In conclusion, AI-driven tools have shown strong potential to enhance learning outcomes, especially when implemented in targeted, pedagogically sound ways. However, their effectiveness depends on thoughtful integration, equitable access, and continued evaluation of both outcomes and unintended consequences.

References

Abolnejadian, M., Alipour, S., & Taeb, K. (2024). Leveraging ChatGPT for Adaptive Learning through Personalized Prompt-based Instruction: A CS1 Education Case Study. *Extended Abstracts of the CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 1-8). Association for Computing Machinery.

Abrar, M., Aboraya, W., Khaliq, R. A., Subramanian, K. P., Al Husaini, Y., & Al Hussaini, M. (2025). AI-Powered Learning Pathways: Personalized Learning and Dynamic Assessments. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science & Applications, 16*(1), 454-462.

Akramovna, X. D. (2024). THE ROLE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN PERSONALIZED LEARNING. *Extended Abstracts of the CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems.* Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14201229

Aleksandrovich, S. I., Ramazan, T., Utegaliyeva, R., Sarimbayeva, B., Keubassova, G., Bissalyyeva, R., . . . Abdikarimova, G. (2024). Transformative applications in biology education: A case study on the efficacy of adaptive learning with numerical insights. *Caspian Journal of Environmental Sciences, 22*(2), 395-408.

As'ad, M. (2024). Intelligent Tutoring Systems, Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI), and Healthcare Agents: A Proof of Concept and Dual-Layer Approach. *Cureus, 16*(9). doi:10.7759/cureus.69710

Ateş, H. (2024). Integrating augmented reality into intelligent tutoring systems to enhance science education outcomes. *Education and Information Technologies*. doi:10.1007/s10639-024-12970-y

Bhatia, D. A., Bhatia, P., & Sood, D. (2024). Leveraging AI to Transform Online Higher Education: Focusing on Personalized Learning, Assessment, and Student Engagement. *International Journal of Management and Humanities, 11*(1), 1-6.

bin Salem, I. (2024). Integrating artificial intelligence in personalized learning: A future-oriented approach to enhance student engagement and achievement. *International Journal of Post Axial: Futuristic Teaching and Learning, 2*(2), 111-119.

Castillo, L. (2024). Examination of AI and Conventional Teaching Approaches in Cultivating Critical Thinking Skills in High School Students. *Journal of Systemics, Cybernetics and Informatics, 22*(7), 109-112.

Colchester, K., Hagras, H., Alghazzawi, D., & Aldabbagh, G. (2017). A survey of artificial intelligence techniques employed for adaptive educational systems within e-learning platforms. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Soft Computing Research, 7*(1), 47-64. doi:10.1515/jaiscr-2017-0004

Dhaniswara, E., Novico Zani, B., Subhan Iswahyudi, M., & Syafral, M. (2024). Utilizing Artificial Intelligence to Improve Adaptive Learning 6 Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Tarbiyah Mumtaz Karimun, Indonesia. *At-Tasyrih: jurnal pendidikan dan hukum Islam, 1*(10), 151-158. doi:10.55849/attasyrih.v10i1.214

Eltahir, M. E., & Babiker, F. M. (2024). The Influence of Artificial Intelligence Tools on Student Performance in e-Learning Environments: Case Study. *Electronic Journal of e-Learning, 22*(9), 91-110. doi:10.34190/ejel.22.9.3639

Ezzaim, A., Dahbi, A., Haidine, A., & Aqqal, A. (2024). Development, Implementation, and Evaluation of a Machine Learning-Based Multi-Factor Adaptive E-Learning System. *IAENG International Journal of Computer Science, 51*(9).

Gligorea, I., Cioca, M., Oancea, R., Gorski, A. T., Gorski, H., & Tudorache, P. (2023). Adaptive Learning Using Artificial Intelligence in e-Learning: A Literature Review. *Education Sciences, 13*(12), 1216. doi:10.3390/educsci13121216

Guettala, M., Bourekkache, S., Kazar, O., & Harous, S. (2024). Generative Artificial Intelligence in Education: Advancing Adaptive and Personalized Learning. *Acta Informatica Pragensia, 13*(3), 460-489. doi:10.18267/j.aip.235

Gupta, M., & Singh, A. (2023). Emerging Trends in Artificial Intelligence Education: Advancements, Opportunities, and Impact on Educational Technology. *Quanta Research, 1*(1), 20-26. doi:10.15157/QR.2023.1.1.20-26

Irawan, W. (2024). ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AS A MEDIATOR IN DIGITAL LEARNING: ADAPTIVE COMMUNICATION STRATEGIES IN DISTANCE EDUCATION. *Proceeding of the International Conference on Innovation in Open and Distance Learning*, *5*, pp. 1178-1194.

Jafari, D., & Shaterzadeh-Yazdi, Z. (2024). Transforming Education with AI: The Development of a Personalized Learning Algorithm for Individual Learning Styles. *Journal of Algorithms and Computation, 56*(2), 135-150.

Lechuga, C. G., & Doroudi, S. (2023). Three Algorithms for Grouping Students: A Bridge Between Personalized Tutoring System Data and Classroom Pedagogy. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 33*(4), 843-884. doi:10.1007/s40593-022-00309-y

Leon, M. (2024). Leveraging Generative AI for On-Demand Tutoring as a New Paradigm in Education. *International Journal on Cybernetics & Informatics, 13*(5), 17-29. doi:10.5121/ijci.2024.130502

Matazu, S. S. (2024). Impact of AI-Blended Learning and AI-Personalized Learning on Undergraduate Biology Students' Attitude and Performance in Climate Change Education. *Anchor University Journal of Science and Technology, 5*(1), 83-95. doi:10.4314/aujst.v5i1.8

Matere, A. (2024). Effectiveness of Artificial Intelligence Tools in Teaching and Learning in Higher Education Institutions in Kenya. *Journal of the Kenya National Commission for UNESCO, 5*(1). doi:10.62049/jkncu.v5i1.177

Mounkoro, I., Rafique, T., De Los, E., Tapia, T., Cadelina, F. A., Diaz Uberas, A., . . . Galingana, C. D. (2024). AI-Powered Tutoring Systems: Revolutionizing Individualized Support For Learners. *Library Progress International, 43*(2), 344-355.

Muminang, M., Adam, A., & Wahyudin, W. (2025). The Effect of Adaptive Learning System Based on Flipped Classroom on Students' Learning Outcomes in Natural Sciences at SMP Negeri 3 Ma'rang. *International Journal of Education and Literature, 4*(1). doi:10.55606/ijel.v4i1.188

Munawwaroh, I., & Adeleke Adeoye, M. (2024). AI-Enhanced Learning Experiences: Moving Beyond Traditional Textbook Approaches in Global Education. *Educative: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan, 2*(3), 169-177.

Murray, M. C., & Perez, J. (2015). Informing and Performing: A Study ComparingAdaptive Learning to Traditional Learning Part of the Databases and Information Systems Commons. *Informing Science: the International Journal of an Emerging Transdiscipline, 18*, 111-125.

Ngo Ndjama, J. D. (2025). The use of artificial intelligence in lesson delivery and evaluation in large-scale teaching environments. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Education Research, 7*(s1), 1-21.

Purnama, Y., Widya Fransiska, F., Muhdi, A., & Meisarah, F. (2023). Long-term effects evaluation of using artificial intelligence-based automated learning systems in improving English content understanding at the secondary education level. *Indonesian Journal of Education (INJOE), 3*(2), 622-636.

Safsouf, Y., Mansouri, K., & Poirier, F. (2020). An analysis to understand the online learners' success in public higher education in Morocco. *Journal of Information Technology Education: Research, 19*, 113-130. doi:10.28945/4526

Saleem, S., Umar Aziz, M., Jawed Iqbal, M., & Abbas, S. (2025). AI in Education: Personalized Learning Systems and Their Impact on Student Performance and Engagement. *The Critical Review of Social Sciences Studies, 3*(1), 2445-2459.

Sangkala, I., & Sulaymanova Mardonovna, N. (2024). ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AS A PERSONALIZED TUTOR IN LANGUAGE LEARNING: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW. *Klasikal: Journal of Education, Language Teaching and Science, 6*(2), 565-576.

Sari, H. E., Tumanggor, B., & Efron, D. (2024). Improving Educational Outcomes Through Adaptive Learning Systems using AI. *International Transactions on Artificial Intelligence (ITALIC), 3*(1), 21-31. doi:10.33050/italic.v3i1.647

Shanthi, D., Ashok, G., Biswal, C., Udharika, S., Varshini, S., & Sindhu, G. (2025). AI-Driven Adaptive It Training: A Personalized Learning Framework For Enhanced Knowledge Retention And Engagement. *Metallurgical and Materials Engineering, 31*(5), 136-145.

Soelistiono, S. (2023). Educational Technology Innovation: AI-Integrated Learning System Design in AILS-Based Education. *Influence: International Journal of Science Review, 5*(2), 470-480.

Tulus Ujianto, N., Jannah, H. R., & Nisa, K. (2025). ENHANCING STUDENT PROFICIENCY IN DATABASE SYSTEMS THROUGH AI-BASED ADAPTIVE LEARNING FRAMEWORKS. *Proceeding of International Conference on Social Science, Humanities, Education and Society Development (ICONS)*, (pp. 123-133).

Urbaite, G. (2025). Adaptive Learning with AI: How Bots Personalize Foreign Language Education. *Luminis Applied Science and Engineering, 2*(1), 13-18.

Utterberg Modén, M., Tallvid, M., Lundin, J., & Lindström, B. (2021). Intelligent Tutoring Systems: Why Teachers Abandoned a Technology Aimed at Automating Teaching Processes. *Proceedings of the 54th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, (pp. 1538-1547).

Wang, S., Christensen, C., Cui, W., Tong, R., Yarnall, L., Shear, L., & Feng, M. (2023). When adaptive learning is effective learning: comparison of an adaptive learning system to teacher-led instruction. *Interactive Learning Environments, 31*(2), 793-803. doi:10.1080/10494820.2020.1808794

White, G. (2020). Adaptive learning technology relationship with student learning outcomes. *Journal of Information Technology Education: Research, 19*, 113-130. doi:https://doi.org/10.28945/4526

Wongmahesak, K., Karim, F., & Wongchestha, N. (2025). Artificial Intelligence: A Catalyst for Sustainable Effectiveness in Compulsory Education Management. *Asian Education and Learning Review, 3*(1).