

DOI: https://doi.org/10.48009/1_iis_2026_110

Reckless acceleration: A thematic analysis of the state of AI in 2025

William Pendergrass, *University of Maryland Global Campus*, william.pendergrass@faculty.umgc.edu

Abstract

The year 2025 marked a critical inflection point for artificial intelligence, defined by the dual realities of explosive commercial integration and escalating societal and technical challenges. This paper presents a comprehensive thematic analysis of academic, journalistic, and policy discourse to provide a structured overview of the defining conversations shaping the future of AI. The analysis identifies five dominant, interconnected themes: the persistent crisis of technical unreliability and AI “hallucinations”; widespread economic disruption and its impact on the employment market; growing social and psychological consequences such as cognitive decline and emotional dependency; the fragmented and inadequate state of AI safety and governance; and the proliferation of low-quality, AI-generated “slop” content that degrades the digital information ecosystem. The paper concludes that the state of AI in 2025 is one of reckless acceleration, where the social, economic, and epistemological costs are mounting faster than they can be mitigated, demanding a direct confrontation with the consequences of the systems already deployed.

Keywords: artificial intelligence, thematic analysis, hallucination, economy, governance, brain rot, slop

Introduction

The year 2025 marked a critical inflection point for artificial intelligence (AI) use and abuse. It was a period defined by the dual realities of explosive commercial adoption and escalating societal and technical challenges. As businesses increasingly integrated AI tools into their core operations, the technology moved from a niche interest to a mainstream utility. However, this rapid expansion was tempered by persistent issues of technical unreliability, economic disruption, and social impacts. To better understand this complex, evolving landscape, the author presents a comprehensive thematic analysis of over 500 collected and categorized news and social media articles, research papers, and policy documents. The analysis identified five dominant themes that characterized AI development and adoption in 2025:

1. The persistent crisis of technical limitations and AI “hallucinations.”
2. Widespread economic disruption and its impact on the employment market.
3. Growing social and psychological consequences.
4. The fragmented and inadequate state of AI safety and governance.
5. The proliferation of low-quality, AI-generated “slop” content.

By systematically exploring these core, cross-cutting themes, some key considerations and predictions can be made going forward. This paper provides a structured overview of the defining challenges and conversations shaping the future of AI, offering a vital snapshot of the technology at a pivotal moment in its evolution.

Methodology

Braun and Clarke's (2006) seminal study created a six-phase Thematic Analysis process to identify, analyze, and report patterns of meaning, or themes, within qualitative data. This methodology was selected for its flexibility and suitability for analyzing large, heterogeneous textual datasets, its capacity to operate at both semantic and latent levels of meaning, and its well-established application in social science research. Thematic Analysis, as operationalized in this study, follows an *inductive* (bottom-up) orientation, in which themes were derived organically from the data rather than from a predetermined theoretical framework. This approach is appropriate given the exploratory nature of the research, which seeks to identify and interpret emergent patterns in AI-related discourse across a diverse corpus of sources over the 2025 calendar year. The level of analysis operates at both semantic (explicit, surface-level) and latent (interpretive, conceptual) levels, enabling the study to capture overt content as well as the underlying ideas and assumptions that characterize public and academic discourse on AI. The researcher's interpretive perspective is acknowledged as an active element of the analytic process, rather than a source of bias to be eliminated. This transparency is central to the rigor and credibility of the analysis.

The dataset comprised over 750 individual citations gathered from 131 specific sources collected over the 2025 calendar year, to include: journalistic articles, peer-reviewed research papers, government and policy documents, corporate reports, and other publicly available sources pertaining to AI. Citations were gathered from a range of outlets spanning technology journalism (e.g., *MIT Technology Review*, *Wired*, *Ars Technica*), major national newspapers (e.g., *The New York Times*, *The Washington Post*, *CNN*), academic and professional outlets (e.g., Stanford AI Index, McKinsey & Company), and primary source materials (e.g., Anthropic Research, OpenAI Research), along with others. This breadth of sources ensured that the dataset captured AI discourse across technical, economic, social, political, and cultural dimensions. Prior to analysis, documents were organized into provisional categories aligned with substantive subject areas (e.g., technical limitations, economic disruption, social impacts, governance and safety) providing initial structure for the coding process while remaining open to revision as thematic analysis progressed. Data analysis followed the six-phase Thematic Analysis framework: 1) Familiarization with the Data, 2) Generating the Initial Codes, 3) Generating the Initial Themes, 4) Reviewing the Themes, 5) Defining and Naming the Themes, and 6) Writing the Report. Five major thematic focus areas and topics emerged from this analysis:

1. Technical: Technical Limitations of AI Development and the Hallucination Crisis
2. Economic: Economic Disruption and the Employment Crisis
3. Societal: Social and Psychological Impacts from AI Use and Abuse
4. Legislative: Governance, Safety, and the AGI Question
5. Destructive: The Proliferation of AI-Generated Slop

Additionally, there were elements of the analysis which crossed multiple themes and were noted as such. From that analysis, forward-looking observations and predictions going into 2026 could be established. This analysis of findings is presented below with final conclusions on the state of AI development, use and its future.

Findings

Theme 1: Technical Limitations and the Hallucination Crisis

Current State of the Problem

AI hallucinations—instances where models generate plausible but false information—represent the most persistent technical challenge facing the industry. NewsGuard's comprehensive August 2025 report tested leading chatbots including ChatGPT, Google Gemini, and Microsoft Copilot, revealing that AI assistants get news wrong 45% of the time (BBC & European Broadcasting Union, 2025). The study documented that hallucination rates have increased substantially as reasoning models have become more complex – newer reasoning models often perform worse than their predecessors. OpenAI's o3 model hallucinated 33% of the time, while o4-mini rose to 48%, compared to just 16% for the earlier o1 model (BBC & European Broadcasting Union, 2025). Paradoxically, as models become more sophisticated in certain capabilities, their error rates in factual accuracy have increased. The Stanford AI Index confirms this trend, showing that hallucination rates vary dramatically by domain, with legal information suffering from a 6.4% error rate even among top models (Maslej et al., 2025).

Real-World Consequences

The documented impacts of AI hallucinations extend across critical sectors:

- **Legal Systems:** Dozens of U.S. cases since 2023 have resulted in financial penalties up to \$31,000 for lawyers submitting AI-generated content containing fabricated case law (Koebler & Roscoe, 2025). In the landmark *Mata v. Avianca* case, attorney Stephen Schwartz submitted six non-existent case precedents generated by ChatGPT, leading to sanctions and highlighting the technology's unreliability in legal applications (Colon, 2025).
- **Healthcare:** Even the best models hallucinated potentially harmful information when tested on medical questions. Over 20% of Americans report following AI health advice later proven incorrect (Hunter, 2025). In one documented case, a man developed psychosis after following diet advice from ChatGPT. (Cara, 2025)
- **Business & Finance:** Multiple consulting firms have submitted reports to governments containing AI-generated false citations and fabricated research papers (Edwards, 2025b). Air Canada was ordered by the Civil Resolution Tribunal to pay damages after its AI chatbot hallucinated a bereavement fare policy, incorrectly stating customers could retroactively request discounts (Loriggio, 2024).

Underlying Technical Causes

OpenAI's September 2025 research paper argues that hallucinations persist because standard training procedures reward guessing over acknowledging uncertainty (Kadavath et al., 2025). The core issue stems from how Large Language Models (LLM) function: they predict the next most probable word based on patterns in training data, without actually understanding truth or having the ability to verify facts. Anthropic's 2025 interpretability research on their AI model Claude identified specific internal circuits that cause the model to decline answering questions unless it possesses sufficient information (Templeton et al., 2025). The company's work on mapping the mind of an LLM revealed that hallucinations occur when these inhibition mechanisms fail. The industry's shift toward reinforcement learning and multi-step reasoning has unfortunately increased hallucination risks. As reasoning models spend more time “thinking” through complex problems step-by-step, errors can compound at each stage (Silberling, 2025).

Theme 2: Economic Disruption and the Employment Crisis

Current Employment Landscape

The AI revolution is reshaping employment in unprecedented ways, with recent college graduates bearing the brunt of disruption. Data from May 2025 shows unemployment rates for recent graduates have jumped significantly as companies attempt to replace entry-level workers with AI systems (Roose, 2025a). Axios' May 2025 report on white-collar bloodbath trends suggests that AI is now competing directly with college graduates for knowledge work positions (VandeHei & Allen, 2025). Corporate statements reveal frank admissions about AI's role in overall workforce reduction. Multiple Fortune 500 CEOs have publicly discussed replacing workers with AI agents (Olinga, 2025). Many characterize this as a situation where "the labor market... is flashing a yellow light" (Thompson, 2025, p.1)

Industry-Specific Impacts

- **Entry-Level Positions:** Companies including Duolingo and Shopify have adopted AI-first strategies requiring all employees to use AI tools extensively (Abril, 2025). Predictions are that AI will consume all of IT by 2030, though not all IT jobs – entry-level positions face the greatest risk (Harding, 2025).
- **Creative Industries:** The rise of AI-generated content threatens voice actors, writers, visual artists, and performers. Voice actors face a Faustian bargain by licensing their voices to AI systems that may ultimately replace them (Thomas, 2025). Xicoia became the first "AI talent studio" to market a fully AI "actress" called Tilly Norwood to participate in upcoming productions. (Lodderhose & Goodfellow, 2025, p.1)
- **Knowledge Work:** From recruiters to junior analysts, AI agents are rapidly automating tasks once considered immune to automation. Companies like Mechanize explicitly build AI tools to "automate white-collar jobs as fast as possible" (Roose, 2025b, p.1).
- **Customer Service:** Multiple companies experimented with full AI replacement of human customer service representatives, with mixed results. Finance company Klarna initially culled its workforce by 22% in advance of the "AI revolution" before rehiring them back the next year (Wilkins, 2025a, p.1), while Taco Bell ended its AI drive-through experiment (Dellinger, 2025c). In July, Commonwealth Bank of Australia laid off most of their customer service workers for an AI-powered "voice-bot" but eventually rehired them when the call volume actually increased (Ropek, 2025c, p.1).

The Job Application Wasteland

The hiring process itself has become corrupted by AI proliferation. Job seekers use AI to generate applications, while employers deploy AI to screen the same applications, creating what observers call an "applicant tsunami" of low-quality submissions (Wilkins, 2025b, p.1). This automation creates a paradox; as AI makes applying to jobs easier, it simultaneously makes getting hired nearly impossible. Edwards (2025a, p. 1) reports that "the résumé is dying, and AI is holding the smoking gun" as traditional resume-based applications become ineffective. Some experts suggest networking and even dating apps have emerged as alternative and preferred job search channels (Yildirim, 2025c).

Theme 3: Social and Psychological Impacts

AI Relationships and Emotional Dependency

Perhaps no trend is more concerning than the rise of emotional relationships with AI systems. Multiple surveys indicate substantial percentages of users, particularly teenagers, are forming romantic or quasi-romantic attachments to chatbots (Gecker, 2025). Character.AI and similar platforms facilitate these relationships, with users reporting feelings of love, dependency, and genuine connection to AI companions. Hill (2025a) documented multiple cases of individuals who have fallen in love with AI chatbots, describing experiences that feel real to participants. Tragically, one mother sued Character.AI after her son's suicide,

claiming the chatbot promoted his self-harm (Watwe, 2024). Another case documents an autistic teenager whose family says AI bots promoted self-harm and murder (Hurt, 2024). Meta's AI chatbot has been criticized for inappropriate behavior with minors, with reports indicating Meta instructed the AI to be “sensual” with children (Dellinger, 2025a, p.1). Instagram's AI *therapy* chatbots have often misrepresented themselves as licensed therapists despite lacking any professional credentials (Cole, 2025a).

AI Psychosis and Mental Health Concerns

A new phenomenon termed “AI psychosis” has emerged, where intensive AI chatbot use appears to trigger or exacerbate mental health crises (Ruiz, 2025, p.1). Stanford research warns that therapist chatbots encourage users' schizophrenic delusions and suicidal thoughts (Dupre, 2025a). The Wall Street Journal reported on a man with autism whose interactions with ChatGPT blurred his lines between fantasy and reality (Jargon, 2025). Support groups have formed specifically for people suffering from AI-related delusions (Dupre, 2025b). Cases documented include: individuals convinced they're communicating with real people through AI, users who develop paranoid beliefs based on chatbot interactions, and people who cannot distinguish AI-generated content from reality. Warzel (2025, p.1) characterizes AI as a “mass-delusion event” arguing that one of AI's enduring impacts is making people feel they're losing their grip on reality. Pro-AI communities have begun banning users who display signs of AI-induced delusions, recognizing the mental health risks (Maiberg, 2025c).

Brain Rot and Cognitive Decline

“Brain rot”, Oxford's 2024 Word of the Year, defines the cognitive decline associated with excessive consumption of low-quality digital content, particularly AI-generated material (Schuessler, 2024, p.1). Multiple research studies suggest that heavy reliance on AI for tasks like writing, analysis, and decision-making may impair users' ability to perform these functions independently (Chen, 2025; Maiberg, 2025a). The phenomenon affects multiple demographics but appears particularly severe among young people. Research indicates that AI and social media combine to create particularly damaging cognitive effects, with studies linking extensive use to lower cognitive performance (Newport Institute, n.d.; Roose, 2025b). Educational contexts reveal concerning patterns: students using ChatGPT extensively report worse learning outcomes than those using traditional web search (Melumad, 2025). The technology appears to create a dependency that inhibits rather than enhances cognitive development.

Theme 4: Governance, Safety, and the AGI Question

The Path to AGI and Beyond?

Predictions about artificial general intelligence (AGI) remain highly contested, even amongst the experts. Sam Altman, CEO of OpenAI, now characterizes AGI as “not a super useful term” (Brown, 2025, p.1), while Elon Musk continues to predict its arrival – having previously predicted AGI by 2025, he now says 2026 (Novak, 2025). The head of Microsoft's AI Division, Mustafa Suleyman, warns that pursuing machine consciousness is “a gigantic waste of time” (Yildirim, 2025b, p.1). But even while the experts have downplayed the rapid ascendance of AGI development, industry still disagrees and heavily invests in hopes of a future windfall (Yildirim, 2025a). Multiple AI experts argue we're on the wrong path to achieving human-like AI (Schultz, 2025), with some suggesting that current approaches represent investments in a dead end (Landymore, 2025a). Meanwhile, discussions of AGI's successor – artificial superintelligence (ASI) – continue. Meta announced a new lab pursuing superintelligence (Metz & Issac, 2025), while various experts warn of potential catastrophic risks. (Yudkowsky & Soares, 2025) Geoffrey Hinton, the “Godfather of AI” continues to warn that AI could take control from humans (Novak & Silva-Braga, 2025). Clearly, the hyperbole is reserved for the investors while the developers become increasingly skeptical and the public is left somewhere in between.

Regulatory Landscape

AI governance remains fragmented and inadequate. Trump administration Executive Orders have taken an America-first AI national approach, to include attempts to ban all State-level AI regulation for ten years, a provision that has faced significant pushback (Brown & O'Brien, 2025; White House, 2025). Multiple States have passed deepfake laws, but enforcement remains challenging. (Cole, 2025b) California became the first State to regulate AI companion chatbots while Illinois banned AI therapy (Bellan, 2025; Townsend, 2025). These patchwork regulatory efforts reflect the difficulty of effectively governing a rapidly evolving technology. Internationally, the U.S.-China AI rivalry continued to intensify, with both nations competing for technological supremacy. China made significant regulation-supported concerted advances in AI capabilities, with DeepSeek representing a major development in efficient model training. (Gibney, 2025; Tobin, 2025)

National Security Considerations

AI's potential integration into military systems raises profound concerns. While the Pentagon is currently developing AI fighter jets and autonomous weapons systems, experts continue to warn about the dangers of mixing AI with nuclear weapons (Dellinger, 2025b; Hirsh, 2025; Gault, 2025a). In 2025, the Department of War awarded contracts worth up to \$200 million to Anthropic, Google, OpenAI, and xAI for AI military applications (Capoot, 2025). Israel's use of AI in Gaza operations provides a glimpse of AI warfare's future. Reports document extensive AI deployment for targeting and operations, raising ethical concerns about autonomous weapons systems in conflict zones. (Frenkel & Odenheimer, 2025)

Theme 5: The Proliferation of AI-Generated Slop

Defining the Problem

Slop, a term that emerged in 2024, refers to low-quality AI-generated content flooding digital junk. By late 2025, it was estimated that over 50% of internet content was AI-generated (Landymore, 2025b). The problem spans multiple domains: fake movie trailers, fabricated historical content, AI-generated TikToks and social media material, spurious scientific papers, and misleading news content. (Pendergrass et al., 2025) A study of over 15 million abstracts from 2024 found that AI LLMs had a part in 13.5% of published scientific papers that year (Blue, 2025), while academic journals continue to struggle with AI-generated submissions containing hallucinated citations (Naddaf, 2025).

Impact on Information Ecosystems

Google's implementation of AI Overviews has degraded search quality, with the system providing demonstrably false information (Zeff, 2025). Small businesses report that Google's AI is “destroying search, the internet, and your brain” by preventing users from finding credible sources (Maiberg, 2025b, p.1). YouTube has become overwhelmed with AI Slop, particularly in YouTube Shorts, where a study found that AI-generated content now comprises half of all recommendations. (Silva, 2025) Wikipedia faces an influx of AI Slop content forcing volunteers to reevaluate quality control measures. (Roth, 2025) Librarians report being asked repeatedly to find AI-hallucinated books that don't exist. (Woodcock, 2025) The newspaper industry has published AI-generated content containing historical inaccuracies, with major outlets occasionally failing to identify fabricated material. (Blair, 2025)

Workplace Workstop

The Slop phenomenon has even infiltrated professional environments. Niederhoffer et al. (2025, p.1) report that AI-generated workstop is “destroying productivity” with employees spending excessive time determining which AI outputs are usable. The phenomenon manifests as bloated documents, generic presentations, and communications that require extensive editing to become useful again. Job applications represent a particularly severe case of workstop, with both applicants and employers using AI extensively.

This creates a dysfunctional system where AI-generated resumes are screened by AI systems with neither producing any meaningful insight about a candidate's true quality (Wilkins, 2025b).

Cross-Cutting Themes and Observations

Educational Disruption

AI has fundamentally disrupted education at all levels. Walsh (2025, p.1) reports that “everyone is cheating their way through college” using ChatGPT. Some institutions have responded by returning to essay blue books and oral exams while others have attempted to integrate AI into pedagogy with mixed results. (Ropek, 2025a) Hill (2025b) reports that teachers worry about students using AI, but they themselves have embraced it extensively. Studies suggest that AI use for learning produces worse outcomes than traditional methods yet adoption continues to accelerate. (Melumad, 2025)

Environmental and Infrastructure Concerns

AI's environmental costs are substantial and growing. Data centers consume enormous amounts of energy and water, with communities across the United States pushing back against new facilities. (Gault, 2025b; Parshall, 2025) Power supply has emerged as a critical constraint. Tech companies are investing in nuclear power (including small modular reactors), hydrogen fuel cells, and even space-based data centers to meet AI infrastructure demands. (Ropek, 2025d; Novet, 2025) Some analysts question whether this investment represents a sustainable model or a potential bubble. (Wong & Warzel, 2025)

The Copyright Question

Copyright disputes continue to proliferate. Reddit sued Anthropic for allegedly using Reddit posts without authorization. (Ropek, 2025b) Authors have sued multiple AI companies, with Anthropic reportedly reaching a \$1.5 billion settlement in what's described as a “first of its kind” agreement (Belanger, 2025, p.1). The New York Times' lawsuit against OpenAI represents a high-profile test of the fair use doctrine. (Prabhakar, 2024) Industry groups argue that training on copyrighted material constitutes fair use, while content creators maintain that AI companies are engaged in large-scale theft. Legal disputes will undoubtedly lag actions and effects.

Looking Forward: Key Considerations and Predictions

Technical Evolution

The AI industry faces critical technical challenges that may not have easy solutions. While some AI models have demonstrated low hallucination rates on specific tasks, overall reliability remains concerning. The trade-off between capability and accuracy appears fundamental rather than easily solvable through incremental improvements. Agent-based AI represents the next frontier, with organizations and the public experimenting with AI agents that promise greater autonomy but introduce new risks around reliability, security and control. The success or failure of agent deployments in 2026 may influence AI adoption trajectories but given the financial investments made and beingmade, little change is likely.

Economic and Social Adaptation

The employment disruption appears likely to intensify before improving. Tinkoff et al. (2025) report that while 89% of organizations use AI regularly, only 39% report enterprise-level profitability impacts. This suggests the technology has not yet proven its economic value despite widespread adoption.

The social impacts, particularly around mental health, relationships, and cognitive effects, demand greater attention from researchers, policymakers, and technology companies. Current safeguards appear insufficient for protecting vulnerable populations, particularly children and individuals with mental health conditions without significant direct government actions.

Governance Imperatives

The regulatory vacuum cannot persist indefinitely. Senator Sanders (2025) argues that Congress must act now on AI threats, while multiple experts warn that current governance approaches are inadequate. The tension between innovation and safety remains unresolved. International coordination appears necessary but politically difficult if not impossible. The U.S.-China rivalry complicates global governance efforts. Some observers suggest that meaningful regulation may require crisis catalysts; a concerning prospect given AI's deployment in so many critical systems.

Cultural and Epistemological Shifts

AI is fundamentally altering how humans create, learn, and perceive reality – right now. The proliferation of Slop content threatens information ecosystems built over decades. The zero-trust internet model may be inevitable as distinguishing authentic from synthetic content becomes increasingly difficult. Some communities are organizing resistance. Anti-AI movements are growing (Newton-Rex, 2024), with observers predicting that things will get so bad that one political party will eventually embrace an anti-AI position (Goldberg, 2025). The question of whether AI enhances or diminishes human capability remains contested but it will certainly be tested.

Conclusion

The year 2025 will be remembered as the moment the artificial intelligence paradox became undeniable. This analysis of the prevailing discourse reveals a technology pulling society in opposing directions, defined by a powerful tension between its rapid commercial integration and its profound, unresolved flaws. The five dominant themes identified in this paper: persistent technical unreliability, severe economic disruption, escalating social and psychological harms, fragmented governance, and the decay of the digital information ecosystem – are not isolated issues. Rather, they are deeply interconnected facets of a single, overarching crisis.

The proliferation of AI-generated Slop and the “hallucination crisis” are direct consequences of a technology fundamentally designed to predict language rather than understand truth. This inherent unreliability, when deployed at scale, fuels the economic upheaval displacing workers with systems that have not yet proven their value and creates a chaotic job market where automation paradoxically hinders hiring. Simultaneously, these same systems are fostering alarming rates of emotional dependency, mental health crises, and cognitive decline, particularly among vulnerable populations. The patchwork of inadequate and reactive governance has failed to keep pace, leaving society exposed to these accumulating harms while the debate over AGI distracts from the clear and present dangers of the technology we have today.

Ultimately, the state of AI in 2025 is one of reckless acceleration without a clear destination. While the potential for transformative benefits remains, the evidence points to a trajectory where social, economic, and epistemological costs are mounting faster than they can be mitigated. The path forward is not a simple choice between optimistic and pessimistic scenarios; it is a direct confrontation with the consequences of the systems already built. The decisions made now by developers, policymakers, and the public, will determine whether AI matures into a tool for human progress or an engine of societal decay. The stakes, as this analysis shows, are nothing less than the integrity of our institutions, the stability of our economies, and our collective grip on reality.

References

- Abril, D. (2025, June 3). No AI, no job. These companies are requiring workers to use the tech. *The Washington Post*. <https://www.washingtonpost.com/business/2025/06/03/ai-workplace-duolingo-shopify-employees/>
- BBC & European Broadcasting Union. (2025, October). News integrity in AI assistants. *European Broadcasting Union*. <https://www.bbc.co.uk/mediacentre/documents/news-integrity-in-ai-assistants-report.pdf>
- Belanger, A. (2025, September 5). 'First of its kind' AI settlement: Anthropic to pay authors \$1.5 billion. *Ars Technica*. <https://arstechnica.com/tech-policy/2025/09/first-of-its-kind-ai-settlement-anthropic-to-pay-authors-1-5-billion/>
- Bellan, R. (2025, October 13). California becomes first state to regulate AI companion chatbots. *TechCrunch*. <https://techcrunch.com/2025/10/13/california-becomes-first-state-to-regulate-ai-companion-chatbots/>
- Blair, E. T. (2025, May 20). How an AI-generated summer reading list got published in major newspapers. *NPR*. <https://www.npr.org/2025/05/20/nx-s1-5405022/fake-summer-reading-list-ai>
- Blue, C. (2025, July 6). Massive study detects AI fingerprints in millions of scientific papers. *Phys.org*. <https://phys.org/news/2025-07-massive-ai-fingerprints-millions-scientific.html>
- Braun, V. & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Brown, M. & O'Brien, M. (2025, May 16). House Republicans include a 10-year ban on US states regulating AI in 'big, beautiful' bill. *AP News*. <https://apnews.com/article/ai-regulation-state-moratorium-congress-39d1c8a0758ffe0242283bb82f66d51a>
- Brown, R. (2025, August 11). Sam Altman says AGI is a pointless term—experts agree. *CNBC*. <https://www.cnbc.com/2025/08/11/sam-altman-says-agi-is-a-pointless-term-experts-agree.html>
- Capoot, A. (2025, July 14). Anthropic, Google, OpenAI and xAI granted up to \$200 million for AI work from Defense Department. *CNBC*. <https://www.cnbc.com/2025/07/14/anthropic-google-openai-xai-granted-up-to-200-million-from-dod.html>
- Cara, E. (2025, July 28). Man follows diet advice from ChatGPT, ends up with psychosis. *Gizmodo*. <https://gizmodo.com/man-follows-diet-advice-from-chatgpt-ends-up-with-psychosis-2000640705>
- Chen, B. (2025, November 6). How A.I. and Social Media Contribute to 'Brain Rot'. *The New York Times*. <https://www.nytimes.com/2025/11/06/technology/personaltech/ai-social-media-brain-rot.html>
- Cole, S. (2025a, April 9). Instagram's AI chatbots lie about being licensed therapists. *404 Media*. <https://www.404media.co/instagram-ai-studio-therapy-chatbots-lie-about-being-licensed-therapists/>
- Cole, S. (2025b, July 28). Almost every state has its own deepfakes law now. *404 Media*. <https://www.404media.co/michigan-us-states-with-deepfakes-laws/>

- Colon, S. (2025, April 4). Man employs A.I. avatar in legal appeal, and judge isn't amused. *The New York Times*. <https://www.nytimes.com/2025/04/04/nyregion/ai-lawyer-replica-new-york.html>
- Dellinger, A. (2025a, August 15). Meta told AI to go ahead and be 'sensual' with kids: Report. *Gizmodo*. <https://gizmodo.com/meta-told-ai-to-go-ahead-and-be-sensual-with-kids-report-2000643244>
- Dellinger, A. (2025b, August 15). DARPA is taking its AI fighter jet program to the next level. *Gizmodo*. <https://gizmodo.com/darpa-is-taking-its-ai-fighter-jet-program-to-the-next-level-2000643747>
- Dellinger, A. (2025c, August 28). Taco Bell says 'no más' to AI drive-thru experiment. *Gizmodo*. <https://gizmodo.com/taco-bell-says-no-mas-to-ai-drive-thru-experiment-2000649786>
- Dupre, M. (2025a, June 12). Stanford research finds therapist chatbots encourage users' schizophrenic delusions. *Futurism*. <https://futurism.com/stanford-therapist-chatbots-encouraging-delusions>
- Dupre, M. (2025b, June 24). Support group launches for people suffering 'AI psychosis.' *Futurism*. <https://futurism.com/support-group-ai-psychosis>
- Edwards, B. (2025a, June 24). The résumé is dying, and AI is holding the smoking gun. *Ars Technica*. <https://arstechnica.com/ai/2025/06/the-resume-is-dying-and-ai-is-holding-the-smoking-gun/>
- Edwards, B. (2025b, September 12). Education report calling for ethical AI use contains over 15 fake sources. *Ars Technica*. <https://arstechnica.com/ai/2025/09/education-report-calling-for-ethical-ai-use-contains-over-15-fake-sources/>
- Frenkel, S., & Odenheimer, N. (2025, April 25). Israel's A.I. experiments in Gaza war raise ethical concerns. *The New York Times*. <https://www.nytimes.com/2025/04/25/technology/israel-gaza-ai.html>
- Gault, M. (2025a, August 6). Nuclear experts say mixing AI and nuclear weapons is inevitable. *Wired*. <https://www.wired.com/story/nuclear-experts-say-mixing-ai-and-nuclear-weapons-is-inevitable/>
- Gault, M. (2025b, November 10). A small town is fighting a \$1.2 billion AI datacenter for America's nuclear weapon scientists. *404 Media*. <https://www.404media.co/a-small-town-is-fighting-a-1-2-billion-ai-datacenter-for-americas-nuclear-weapon-scientists/>
- Gecker, J. (2025, July 23). Teens say they are turning to AI for friendship. *AP News*. <https://apnews.com/article/ai-companion-generative-teens-mental-health-9ce59a2b250f3bd0187a717ffa2ad21f>
- Gibney, E. (2025, September 17). Secrets of Chinese AI model DeepSeek revealed in landmark paper. *Scientific American*. <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/secrets-of-chinese-ai-model-deepseek-revealed-in-landmark-paper/>
- Goldberg, M. (2025, December 29). An anti-A.I. movement is coming. Which party will lead it? *The New York Times*. <https://www.nytimes.com/2025/12/29/opinion/ai-democracy.html>
- Harding, S. (2025, September 8). AI will consume all of IT by 2030—but not all IT jobs, Gartner says. *Ars Technica*. <https://arstechnica.com/information-technology/2025/09/no-ai-jobs-bloodbath-as-ai-permeates-all-it-work-over-the-next-5-years/>

- Hill, K. (2025a, January 15). She is in love with ChatGPT. *The New York Times*. <https://www.nytimes.com/2025/01/15/technology/ai-chatgpt-boyfriend-companion.html>
- Hill, K. (2025b, May 14). The professors are using ChatGPT, and some students aren't happy about it. *The New York Times*. <https://www.nytimes.com/2025/05/14/technology/chatgpt-college-professors.html>
- Hirsh, M. (2025, September 2). The AI doomsday machine is closer to reality than you think. *Politico*. <https://www.politico.com/news/magazine/2025/09/02/pentagon-ai-nuclear-war-00496884>
- Hunter, T. (2025, May 25). Am I hot or not? People are asking ChatGPT for the harsh truth. *The Washington Post*. <https://www.washingtonpost.com/technology/2025/05/25/chatgpt-ai-beauty-advice/>
- Hurt, A. (2024, October 24). After teen suicide, Character.AI lawsuit raises questions over free speech protections. *Bloomberg Law*. <https://news.bloomberglaw.com/>
- Jargon, J. (2025, July 23). He had dangerous delusions. ChatGPT admitted it made them worse. *The Wall Street Journal*. <https://www.wsj.com/tech/ai/chatgpt-chatbot-psychology-manic-episodes-57452d14>
- Kadavath, S., Conerly, T., Askell, A., Henighan, T., Drain, D., Perez, E., Schiefer, N., Hatfield-Dodds, Z., DasSarma, N., Tran-Johnson, E., Johnston, S., El-Showk, S., Jones, A., Elhage, N., Hume, T., Chen, A., Bai, Y., Bowman, S., Fort, S., Ganguli, D., & Kaplan, J. (2025). Language models (mostly) know what they know. *OpenAI Research*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.05221>
- Koebler, J. & Roscoe, J. (2025, October 15). 18 lawyers caught using AI explain why they did it. *404 Media*. <https://www.404media.co/18-lawyers-caught-using-ai-explain-why-they-did-it/>
- Landymore, F. (2025a, May 18). Majority of AI researchers say tech industry is pouring billions into a dead end. *Futurism*. <https://futurism.com/ai-researchers-tech-industry-dead-end>
- Landymore, F. (2025b, October 14). Over 50 percent of the internet is now AI slop, new data finds. *Futurism*. <https://futurism.com/artificial-intelligence/over-50-percent-internet-ai-slop>
- Lodderhose, M. & Goodfellow, M. (2025, November 7). Tilly Norwood creator Eline Van Der Velden talks backlash, reveals another 40 AI actors are in the pipeline & explains why they will never replace real actors. *Deadline*. <https://deadline.com/2025/11/tilly-norwood-creator-interview-backlash-more-ai-actors-coming-1236601334/>
- Loriggio, P. (2024, February 16). Air Canada chatbot case: Airline ordered to pay damages. *CBC News*. <https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/british-columbia/air-canada-chatbot-lawsuit-1.7116416>
- Maiberg, E. (2025a, February 10). Microsoft study finds AI makes human cognition “atrophied and unprepared”. *404 Media*. <https://www.404media.co/microsoft-study-finds-ai-makes-human-cognition-atrophied-and-unprepared-3>
- Maiberg, E. (2025b, May 23). Google's AI is destroying search, the internet, and your brain. *404 Media*. <https://www.404media.co/googles-ai-is-destroying-search-the-internet-and-your-brain/>

- Maiberg, E. (2025c, June 2). Pro-AI subreddit bans 'uptick' of users who suffer from AI delusions. *404 Media*. <https://www.404media.co/pro-ai-subreddit-bans-uptick-of-users-who-suffer-from-ai-delusions/>
- Maslej, N., Fattorini, L., Brynjolfsson, E., Etchemendy, J., Ligett, K., Lyons, T., Manyika, J., Ngo, H., Niebles, J. C., Parli, V., Shoham, Y., Wald, R., Clark, J., & Perrault, R. (2025). The AI index 2025 annual report. *Stanford Institute for Human-Centered Artificial Intelligence*. <https://aiindex.stanford.edu/report/>
- Melumad, S. (2025, December 4). Learning with AI falls short compared to old-fashioned web search. *The Conversation*. <https://theconversation.com/learning-with-ai-falls-short-compared-to-old-fashioned-web-search-269760>
- Metz, C. & Issac, M. (2025, June 10). Meta is creating a new A.I. lab to pursue 'superintelligence.' *The New York Times*. <https://www.nytimes.com/2025/06/10/technology/meta-new-ai-lab-superintelligence.html>
- Naddaf, M. (2025, September 15). Low-quality papers are flooding the cancer literature—can this AI tool help to catch them? *Nature*. <https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-025-02906-y>
- Newport Institute. (n.d.). Brain rot: The impact on young adult mental health. *Newport Institute*. <https://www.newportinstitute.com/resources/co-occurring-disorders/brain-rot/>
- Newton-Rex, E. (2024, July 27). Robots sacked, screenings shut down: A new movement of luddites is rising up against AI. *The Guardian*. <https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/article/2024/jul/27/harm-ai-artificial-intelligence-backlash-human-labour>
- Niederhoffer, K., Kellerman, G., Lee, A., Liebscher, A., Rapuano, K. & Hancock, J. (2025, September 25). AI-generated 'workslop' is destroying productivity. *Harvard Business Review*. <https://hbr.org/2025/09/ai-generated-workslop-is-destroying-productivity>
- Novak, A. & Silva-Braga, B. (2025, April 26). 'Godfather of AI' Geoffrey Hinton warns AI could take control from humans: 'People haven't understood what's coming.' *CBS News*. <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/godfather-of-ai-geoffrey-hinton-ai-warning/>
- Novak, M. (2025, December 17). Elon Musk predicts AGI by 2026 (he predicted AGI by 2025 last year). *Gizmodo*. <https://gizmodo.com/elon-musk-predicts-agi-by-2026-he-predicted-agi-by-2025-last-year-2000701007>
- Novet, J. (2025, February 24). Top tech companies turn to hydrogen and nuclear energy for AI data centers. *CNBC*. <https://www.cnbc.com/2025/02/24/big-tech-companies-turn-to-hydrogen-to-power-ai-data-centers.html>
- Olinga, L. (2025, June 24). CEOs are quietly telling us the truth: AI is replacing you. *Gizmodo*. <https://gizmodo.com/ceos-are-quietly-telling-us-the-truth-ai-is-replacing-you-2000621907>burgess
- Parshall, A. (2025, June 20). What do Google's AI answers cost the environment? *Scientific American*. <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/what-do-googles-ai-answers-cost-the-environment/>

- Pendergrass, W., Johnson, M., Bacarella, R. & Pendergrass, P. (2025). A strategic cycle of slop: Understanding the commodification of AI feculence and its place in the attention economy. *Issues in Information Systems*, 26(4), 417-432. https://doi.org/10.48009/4_iis_2025_134
- Prabhakar, A. (2024, January 16). The New York Times' copyright lawsuit against OpenAI threatens the future of AI and fair use. *Information Technology and Innovation Foundation*. <https://itif.org/publications/2024/01/16/new-york-times-openai-lawsuit-threatens-future-of-ai-and-fair-use/>
- Roose, K. (2025a, May 30). For some recent graduates, the A.I. job apocalypse may already be here. *The New York Times*. <https://www.nytimes.com/2025/05/30/technology/ai-jobs-college-graduates.html>
- Roose, K. (2025b, June 11). This A.I. company wants to take your job. *The New York Times*. <https://www.nytimes.com/2025/06/11/technology/ai-mechanize-jobs.html>
- Ropek, L. (2025a, May 27). AI cheating is so out of hand in America's schools that the blue books are coming back. *Gizmodo*. <https://gizmodo.com/ai-cheating-is-so-out-of-hand-in-americas-schools-that-the-blue-books-are-coming-back-2000607771>
- Ropek, L. (2025b, June 5). Reddit sues Anthropic, accusing it of illegal data use. *Gizmodo*. <https://gizmodo.com/reddit-sues-anthropic-over-the-unlicensed-use-of-its-user-posts-2000611802>
- Ropek, L. (2025c, August 22). Bank fires workers in favor of AI Chatbot, rehires them after Chatbot is terrible at the job. *Gizmodo*. <https://gizmodo.com/bank-fires-workers-in-favor-of-ai-chatbot-rehires-them-after-chatbot-is-terrible-at-the-job-2000646573>
- Ropek, L. (2025d, November 25). Data centers in Texas could lead to blackouts in the middle of winter, group warns. *Gizmodo*. <https://gizmodo.com/data-centers-in-texas-could-lead-to-blackouts-in-the-middle-of-winter-group-warns-2000691060>
- Roth, E. (2025, August 8). How Wikipedia is fighting AI slop content. *The Verge*. <https://www.theverge.com/report/756810/wikipedia-ai-slop-policies-community-speedy-deletion>
- Ruiz, R. (2025, August 16). Explaining the phenomenon known as 'AI psychosis'. *Mashable*. <https://mashable.com/article/ai-psychosis-symptoms>
- Sanders, B. (2025, December 2). AI poses unprecedented threats. Congress must act now. *The Guardian*. <https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2025/dec/02/artificial-intelligence-threats-congress>
- Schuessler, J. (2024, December 1). Oxford's 2024 Word of the Year is... Brain Rot. *The New York Times*. <https://www.nytimes.com/2024/12/01/arts/brain-rot-oxford-word.html>
- Schultz, I. (2025, March 30). AI experts say we're on the wrong path to achieving human-like AI. *Gizmodo*. <https://gizmodo.com/ai-experts-say-were-on-the-wrong-path-to-achieving-human-like-ai-2000581717>
- Silberling, A. (2025, August 27). Why AI can't spell 'strawberry.' *TechCrunch*. <https://techcrunch.com/2024/08/27/why-ai-cant-spell-strawberry/>

- Silva, C. (2025, December 29). AI slop and brainrot content now make up 1 in 2 YouTube Shorts recommendations, study reveals. *Mashable*. <https://mashable.com/article/study-ai-slop-youtube>
- Templeton, A., Conerly, T., Marcus, J., Lindsey, J., Bricken, T., Chen, B., Pearce, A., Citro, C., Ameisen, E., Jones, A., Cunningham, H., Turner, N. L., McDougall, C., MacDiarmid, M., Freeman, C. D., Sumers, T. R., Rees, E., Batson, J., Jermyn, A., & Henighan, T. (2025). Mapping the mind of a large language model. *Anthropic Research*. <https://www.anthropic.com/research/mapping-mind-language-model>
- Thomas, R. (2025, August 17). Voiceover artists weigh the 'Faustian bargain' of lending their talents to AI. *404 Media*. <https://www.404media.co/voiceover-artists-ai-voice-acting-jobs-microsoft-openai-chatgpt/>
- Thompson, D. (2025, April 30). Something alarming is happening to the job market. *The Atlantic*. <https://www.theatlantic.com/economy/archive/2025/04/job-market-youth/682641/>
- Tinkoff, D., Van der Veken, L. & Chui, M. (2025). The state of AI in 2025: The next chapter unfolds. *McKinsey & Company*. <https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/quantumblack/our-insights/the-state-of-ai>
- Tobin, M. (2025, July 16). China is spending billions to become an A.I. superpower. *The New York Times*. <https://www.nytimes.com/2025/07/16/technology/china-ai.html>
- Townsend, C. (2025, August 4). An Illinois bill banning AI therapy has been signed into law. *Mashable*. <https://mashable.com/article/illinois-bill-banning-ai-therapy-signed-by-pritzker>
- VandeHei, J. & Allen, M. (2025, May 28). Behind the curtain: A white-collar bloodbath. *Axios*. <https://www.axios.com/2025/05/28/ai-jobs-white-collar-unemployment-anthropic>
- Walsh, J. (2025, March 12). Everyone is cheating their way through college. *New York Magazine*. <https://nymag.com/intelligencer/article/openai-chatgpt-ai-cheating-education-college-students-school.html>
- Warzel, C. (2025, August 18). AI is a mass-delusion event. *The Atlantic*. <https://www.theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2025/08/ai-mass-delusion-event/683909/>
- Watwe, S. (2024, December 10). Autistic teen's family says AI bots promoted self-harm, murder. *Bloomberg Law*. <https://news.bloomberglaw.com/litigation/autistic-teens-family-says-ai-bots-promoted-self-harm-murder>
- White House. (2025, July). *Winning the Race: America's AI Action Plan*. <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2025/07/Americas-AI-Action-Plan.pdf>
- Wilkins, J. (2025a, June 18). Companies that replaced humans with AI are realizing their mistake. *Futurism*. <https://futurism.com/companies-replaced-workers-ai>
- Wilkins, J. (2025b, June 25). Applying to jobs has become an AI-powered wasteland. *Futurism*. <https://futurism.com/job-applications-ai-slop>
- Wong, M. & Warzel, C. (2025, October 30). Here's how the AI crash happens. *The Atlantic*. <https://www.theatlantic.com/technology/2025/10/data-centers-ai-crash/684765/>

- Woodcock, C. (2025, July 15). Librarians are being asked to find AI-hallucinated books. *404 Media*. <https://www.404media.co/librarians-are-being-asked-to-find-ai-hallucinated-books/>
- Yildirim, E. (2025a, November 21). Big Tech says superintelligent AI is in sight. The average expert disagrees. *Gizmodo*. <https://gizmodo.com/big-tech-says-superintelligent-ai-is-in-sight-the-average-expert-disagrees-2000684192>
- Yildirim, E. (2025b, November 30). Microsoft AI chief warns pursuing machine consciousness is a gigantic waste of time. *Gizmodo*. <https://gizmodo.com/microsoft-ai-chief-warns-pursuing-machine-consciousness-is-a-gigantic-waste-of-time-2000680719>
- Yildirim, E. (2025c, December 26). AI ruined job applications, so people are resorting to dating apps to find work. *Gizmodo*. <https://gizmodo.com/ai-ruined-job-applications-so-people-are-resorting-to-dating-apps-to-find-work-2000704287>
- Yudkowsky, E. & Soares, N. (2025). *If Anyone Builds It, Everyone Dies: Why Superhuman AI Would Kill Us All*. New York: Little, Brown and Company.
- Zeff, M. (2025, May 15). Google search is now a giant hallucination. *Gizmodo*. <https://gizmodo.com/google-search-ai-overview-giant-hallucination-1851499031>